

International Journal of Virology and Parasitology, Vol. 6 (3), pp. 001-006, March, 2017. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

## Full Length Research Paper

# Detection of Virulence Genes in Salmonella Isolated From Chicken and Chicken Waste Water

Nwiyi, Paul O<sup>1</sup>, Soyoola Mobolalen<sup>2</sup> and Oguoma, Igwe O<sup>3</sup>

#### Accepted 30 November, 2016

The study was conducted in Aba, the commercial city of Abia State to determine the prevalence of Salmonella on chicken and chicken water waste using fecal samples from apparently healthy birds, clinically sick birds and swabs of waste water effluent from chicken farms. A total of 180 samples made of 60 samples each were collected from the three sample types. Biochemical and Serological analysis were conducted in veterinary laboratory of Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, while molecular studies were conducted at Lahor Medical Research and Diagnostic Center, Edo State Benin. The prevalence of Salmonella Spp. in apparently healthy chicken, clinically sick chicken and chicken water waste were 6.6% 11.6% and 20% respectively, while the mean prevalence rate was 12.7%. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used for detection of virulence genes with known amplification conditions, number of cycles and concentration of reagents while amplicon were separated by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel. The detection of  $V_i$  and  $V_3$  genes with bands at 100bp and 150bp suggest the presence of virulence genes of Salmonella Typhimurium, while the detection of inVA and sopE genes with bands at 260bp and 240bp indicates the presence of virulence genes for Salmonella Enteritidis.

**Keyswords:** Salmonella, chicken samples, prevalence, virulence genes, amplification conditions.

#### INTRODUCTION

Salmonella are short bacilli, Gram-negative, aerobic, catalase positive, oxidase negative; they ferment sugars with gas production, produces H<sub>2</sub>S, are non sporogenic

\*Corresponding Author's Email: afodiokechukwu@yahoo.com

and are normally motile with peritricheal flagella, except for *Salmonella Pullorum* and *Salmonella Gallinerum*, which are non-motile (Plym and Wierup, 2006). These organisms are Gram negative and rod shape which have been divided into over 2700 serotypes based on somatic, flagellar and capsular antigens (Gallegos-Robles *et al.*, 2003). The genus salmonella is divided into two species *Salmonella* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Parasitology, College of Veterinary Medicine Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike.

Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriulture Umudike.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, College of Natural and Applied Science, Federal University of Science and Technology Owerri. Nigeria.

enterica and Salmonella bongari. They are 6 subspecies of Salmonella enterica and they include S.enterica subsp arizonae S. enterica subsp diarizonae. S. enterica subspenterica, S. enterica subsp indica, S.enterica subsp houtenae or I, II IIIa, IIIb, IV and VI. (Hendriksen et al., 2011). Salmonella Spp have contributed significantly to the cause of foodborne disease in humans and the most frequently isolated from Asia, South America and Europe (Vieira et al., 2009). Analysis of the phylogenetic characteristics unfold the influence of varying factors in the existence and continued presence of Salmonella Spp in the animals and these include; Gross-contamination among animals, feed and environment (Mello et al 2011, et al). Salmonella Spp virulence had been traced to two major factors notably chromosomal and plasmid mediated factors (Oliveria, et al., 2003). Studies have shown that genes encodes some factors and these virulence factors are associated with the fimbriae and celluar structure (Edwards and Puente, 1998: Van Asten and Van Dijk, 2005). Other virulence factors of salmonella include production (of endotoxins and exotoxins. Salmonella encoded frumbria (sef operon) functions to promote a better interaction between the bacteria and the macrophages (Collinson et al., 1996). Bacteria affinity for payer's patches and adhesion to intestinal M cells is associated with the long polar fimbria (Baulmer et al., 1996). Most virulence genes of salmonella are chromosomal genes located on the pathogenicity islands called Salmonella Pathogenicity Islands (SPI). These genes are thought to have been acquired from other bacteria species via gene transfer (Ezzat et al., 2014).

The study was carried out due to several complain by farmers of outbreak of Salmonella in the area and hence the study was aimed to detect the presence of virulence genes in salmonella isolated from chickens and chicken waste water.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

## **Sample Collection**

A total of 180 samples obtain from chicken poultry and poultry waste water was collected for isolation of salmonella. These samples were made of fecal swabs of healthy birds, suspected weak birds and swabs of waste water effluent from poultry farms. All samples collected were kept in sterile plastic bags containing ice pack and then transported to veterinary microbiology laboratory of Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike.

### Isolation of Salmonella

The procedure as described OIE (2004) was employed. The samples from each of the three classes was separately inoculated into peptone water and incubated at

37% for 24hrs. Thereafter a loopful of the enriched media was stroked onto plates of *Salmonella – Shigella* agar and deoxycholate citrate agar and incubated at 37<sup>O</sup>C for 18-24hrs. The characteristics colony was picked for further studies.

#### Identification of Salmonella Isolates.

Microscopic, biochemical and serological identification were further carried out. The purified colonies were subjected to Gram's reaction and on microscopy, they were colourless, transparent and raised colonies on *Salmonella-Shigella* agar but smooth, red coloured colony with black central spot in deoxycholate citrate agar. The biochemical tests were oxidase, indole test, methyl red, Vogues – Proskauer, citrate utilization, Tripe sugar iron agar test (TSI) and sugar fermentation test using analytical profile index 20E (API) while serology was carried out by slide agglutination test using O and H antisera (Difco, Detroit, USA)

#### **DNA Extraction**

The stock frozen bacteria culture was sub-cultured in MacConkey agar plates (Oxoid, United Kingdom) and incubated at 37 C for 24hr. An alliquot (ImL) of each bacterial culture was separated for DNA extraction according to the method described Borsol et al. (2009). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) specific primers for the following genes: Vi, V3, inVA and sopE were used for conduction of polymerase chain reactions. The primer sets, products and source used in the assay of PCR are described (Table 1). The PCR mixtures were performed with 2.5 ∞L of 10xPCR buffer (Inqaba biotechnology industry Limited, South Africa), I U of Taq DNA polymerase and 2∞L of template DNA. The amplification conditions, number of cycles and concentration of reagent are described (Table 2). The amplicons were separated by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose, stained with ethidium bromide viewed using UV documented and transilluminator. Esherichia Coli ATCC 25922 was used as negative control. All the procedures were conducted in Lahor Medical Research and Diagnostic Center, Benin, Edo State (Nigeria).

## DISCUSSION

Salmonella infection is one of the most important bacterial diseases in poultry causing heavy economic loss through mortality and reduced production. In the present study, the incidence of salmonella in chickens was 12.7% out of 180 chickens and chicken waste water samples. This finding is similar to the result obtained (Kudaka, *et al.*, 2006) but disagrees with Fofana *et al.*, (2006) who reported salmonella present in similar study at prevalence rate of

Table 1: Virulence genes and factors detected in salmonella isolated from poultry and poultry water waste

Genes	Virulent factors		Primer sequence (5 <sup>1</sup> -3)	Source	
inVA	Invasion	(F)	GTGAAATTACGCCACGTTCGGCAA	Inqaba South /Africa	
		(R)	TCATCGCACCGTCAAAGGACAA		
sopE	Effect or protein (F)		ACACACTTTCACCGAGGAAGCG	Inqaba South /Africa	
		(R)	GGATGCCTTCTGATGTTGACTGG		
VI	Fimbria	(F)	CGACTGAAACCGTTGGTACA	Inqaba South /Africa	
		(R)	CAATGATCGCAGCGTAGTGG		
V3	Fimbria	(F)	CCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAG	Inqaba South /Africa	
		(R)	CGTATTACCGAGGCTGCTG		

Table 2: Virulence associated genes in salmonella isolates and its PCR assay conditions.

gene	Amplification condition	Number of cycles	Concentration of reagents
Vi	94 <sup>O</sup> C 1sec, 58 <sup>O</sup> C 1sec, 74 <sup>O</sup> C 21sec.	35	$2 \propto L$ dNTPs (2.5mM)+each primer+1.25 $\propto L$ MgCl <sub>2</sub> (2.5mM).
V3	94 <sup>O</sup> C 1sec, 55 <sup>O</sup> C 1sec.	35	0.2∞L d NTPs (2.5mM)+1uL each primer+2∞LMgCl $_2$ (4mM)
invA	74 <sup>U</sup> C 21sec 94 <sup>U</sup> C1sec, 56 <sup>U</sup> C1sec,	35	$2{\propto}L$ dNTPs (2.5mM)+1uL each primer+1.25 ${\propto}LMgCl_2$ (2.5mM)
sopE	74 <sup>O</sup> C 21sec. 94 <sup>O</sup> C1min, 55 <sup>O</sup> C1min, 72 <sup>O</sup> C1min.	30	2∞L dNTPs (2.5mM)+1uLeach primer+1∞LMgCl <sub>2</sub> (2mM)


Min=minutes, sec=seconds

#### RESULT


Two salmonella serotypes (S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium) were examined for detection of virulence genes are Vi,  $V_3$ , inVA and sopE by conventional PCR and the result obtained are presented.

 Table 3: The prevalence of salmonella Spp in chicken and chicken waste water.


Examine chicken samples	Number of sample examined	Number of sample positive	%
Apparently healthy chicken	60	4	6.6%
Clinically sick chicken	60	7	11.6%
Chicken waste water	60	12	20%
Total	180	23	12.7%




**Plate 1:** PCR results for *Salmonella* species analyzed with 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. L is 100bp-1kb DNA ladder (molecular marker), lanes 3, 5, 7, 14, 16, 18, 19 and 36 are positive for the *Vi* genes of *Salmonella Typhimurium*, isolates 4, 32 and 34 are positive for the *Vi* genes of *Salmonella Typhimurium* at 100bp and isolate in lane 10 is negative for the *Vi* genes.



**Plate 2:** PCR results for *Salmonella* species analyzed with 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. L is 100bp-1kb DNA ladder (molecular marker), lanes 3, 5, 7, 14, 16, 18, 19 and 36 are positive for the V3 genes of *Salmonella typhimurium*, isolates 4, 32 and 34 are positive for the V3 genes of *Salmonella typhimurium* at 150bp respectively.



**Plate 3:** Agarose gel electrophoresis of ampilified *invA* PCR product (240bp). Lane L: 100-1000bp DNA ladder; Neg. Negative control; Lane 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12 examined *Salmonella*.



**Plate 4:** Agarose gel electrophoresis showing Salmonella specific PCR of Salmonella isolates using primer set for the sopB gene (260bp). Lane L: 100-1000bp DNA ladder; Neg: negative control; Lane 8, 9, 110, 12 examined Salmonella.

62.5%. The reason for this remarkable or significance differences may not be in connected with difference in management, environmental and socio-economic related factors.

The result of serotyping of isolated salmonella specie using "O" and "H" antisera serotypes recovered 12 Salmonella Typhimurium (52.2%) and II Salmonella Enteritidis (47.8%)

Oliveira et al. (2003) revealed that PCR method has high specificity and sensitivity and more importantly a less time consuming procedure than standard microbiological techniques for detection and identification of salmonella. PCR assays using the inVA primers specific for Salmonella spp. considerably decreases the number of false-negative. The same goes for all other virulence genes used in this work. In this study, salmonella isolate obtained from chicken were positive for inVA and sopE genes. Similar observation was reported (Campioni et al., 2012; Craciunas et al., 2012). The detection of these genes was not out of place because there are necessary for cell division. PCR is a confirmed tool for rapid detection of Salmonella spp and sopE and inVA are known to be target genes for the detection of salmonella. There was amplification of the virulence genes inVA and sopE with amplicon size of 240bp (Plate 3) and 260bp (Plate 4) respectively. The results for detection of sopE and inVA genes showed 100% positive (frequency) and this is in agreement (Hopkins and Threlfull, 2004). This finding are very significant because variation in components of the proteins and fimbria can lead to a change in the ability of this serovar to adapt to a new hosts thereby resulting in the

outbreak of a more virulent strains and this is in agreement with the findings (Prager et al., 2000). However, the absence of this sopE effect or proteins in some Salmonella isolates indicates that they are not necessary for invasive activity in human host (Suez et al., 2013). The  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ virulence genes are also called fimbria genes and the importance of fimbrae cannot be over-emphasised in infection process. The fimbria genes are thought to posses additive effects of adhesions  $V_1$  and  $V_3$  in the collonization of the intestine and systemic virulence (Wagner and Hensel, 2011). The Vi and V3 genes were found to be present and amplify all the salmonella isolates obtained from chicken waste water as evidenced by the various base pair (bp) bands (Plate 1 and 2). All the 12 salmonella isolates showed marked presence of Vi and V3 genes with amplification at 100bp (plate 1) and 150bp (plate 2) respectively. The findings that 12.7% were positive for Salmonella Typhimurum strains isolated from chicken waste water is in agreement (Moussa et al., 2003).

There is a possibility that the presence of this gene may be associated with the host from which the sample was isolated (Amin *et al.*, 2010).

PCR assay carried out for the detection of sopE and inVA from isolated strains revealed that virulence genes was present in all of the isolates (100%) which was demonstrated by the presence of a 260bp and 240bp PCR product respectively

#### CONCLUSION

The study shows that isolates of salmonella from chicken for virulence genes inVA and sopE where those of chicken waste water also positive for  $V_1$  and  $V_3$  genes. These genes which are effect or protein and fimbria may be responsible for the invasive and adhesive function of salmonella.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Amini K, Salehi TZ, Nikbakht G, Ranjbar R, Amini J, Ashrafganjooei SB (2010). Molecular detection of *invA* and *spv* virulence genes in *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolated from human and animals in Iran. African Journal Microbiology Resource. 4: 2202-2210.
- Baumler AJ, Tsolis RM, Heffron F (1996). Contribution of fimbrial operons to attachment to and invasion of epithelial cell lines by Salmonella Typhimurium. Infectious Immunology. 64:1862-186S.
- Borsoi A, Santin E, Santos LR, Salle CTP, Moraes HLS, Nascimento VP (2009). Inoculation of newly hatched broiler chicks with two Brazilian isolates of *Salmonella* Heidelberg strains with different virulence gene profile, antimicrobial resistance and pulsed field gel electrophoresis pattern to intestinal changes evaluation. Poultry Science. 88:750-758.
- Campioni F, Bergamini AMM, Falcao JP (2012). Genetic diversity, viru- v/ lence genes and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolated from food and humans over a 24-year period in Brazil. Food Microbiology. 32:254-264.
- Collinson K, Liu SL, Clouthier SC, Banser PA, Doran JL, Sanderson KE, Kay WW (1996). The location of four fimbrin-enconding genes, agfA, fimA, sefA and sefD, on the *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* Xball-Blnl genomic restriction maps. Gene 169:75-80.
- Craciunas C, Keul AL, Flonta M, Cristea M (2012). DNA-based diagnostic V tests for Salmonella strains targeting hilA, agfA. spvC and sefC genes. Journal Environmental Managment. 95: 512-218.
- Edwards RA, Puente JL (1998). Fimbrial expression in enteric bacteria: a critical step in intestinal pathogenesis. Trends Microbiology. 6:282-287.
- Ezzat ME, Shabana LL, Esawy AM, Elsotohy ME (2014). Detection of virulence genes in Salmonella serovars isolated from broilers, Animal and Veterinary Advances 2: 189-193.
- Fofana AI, Bada A, Seydi RM, Akakpo AJ (2006). Antibio-resistance of *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from raw chicken meat in Senegal. Dakar. Medicine. 51: 57-62.
- Gallegos-Robles MA, Morales-Loredo A, Alvarez-Ojeda G, Vegap A, Chew, Velarde MY, Fratamico P (2008). Identification of Salmonella serotypes isolated front cantaloupe and chile pepper production systems in Mexico by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism. Journal Food Protection 71: 2217-22.
- Hendriksen RS, Vieira AR, Karlsmosc S, Wong DMA, Jensen AB (2011). Global monitoring of Salmonella serovar distribution from the World Health Organization Global Foodbome Infections Network Country Data Bank: results of quality assured laboratories from 2001 to 2007. Foodbome Pathogen Disease 8: 887-900

- Hopkins KL, Threlfall EJ (2004). Frequency and polymorphism of *sopE* in isolates of *Salmonella enterica* belonging to the ten most prevalent serovars in England and Wales. Journal Medical Microbiology. 53:539-543.
- Kudaka J, Itokazy K, Taira K, Iwai A, Kond M, Sua T, Iwanaga M (2006). Characterization of Salmonella Isolated in Okinawa. Japan. Journal Infectious Diseases. 59: 15 - 19.
- Mello RT, Guimaraes AR, Mendonpa EP, Coelho LR, Monteiro GP, Fonseca BB, Rossi DA (2011). Identificafao soroldgica e rela?ao filogend- tica de *Salmonella* spp. de origem sufna. Pesq. Veterinary Brasil. 31:1039- 1044.
- Moussa IM, Aleslamboly YS, Al-Arfaj AA, Hessain AM, Gouda AS, Kamal RM (2013). Molecular characterization of *Salmonella* virulence genes isolated from different sources relevant to human health. Journal Food Agriculture Environment. 11:197-201.
- Office International Des Epizooties (2004). Salmonellosis and fowl typhoid and pullorum diseases. In: OIE Guide-2 Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals.
- Omeira SD, RoJenbusch CR, Ce MC, Rocha ST, Canal CW (2003). Evaluation of selective and non-selective enrichment PCR procedures lor Salmonella detection. Letter Applied Microbiology. 36: 217-221.
- Plyrn F, Wierup M (2006). Salmonella contamination: a significant challenge to the global marketing of animal food products. Revision Science Technology. 25:541-54.
- Prager R, Frutli A, Tschape H (1995). Salmonella *V* enterotoxm (stn) gene is prevalent among strains of Salmonella enterica but not among Salmonella bongori and other Enterobactenaceae. FEMS Immunology Medical Microbiology 12: 47-50.
- Suez, Porwollik S, Dagan A, Marzel A, Schorr YI, Desai PT, Agmon V, McClelland M Uahav G, Gal-Mor A (2013). Virulence gene profiling and pathogenicity characterization of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* accounted for invasive disease in humans. PLoS ONE 8(3):e58449.
- Van Asten AJ, van Dijk JE (2005). Distribution of classic V virulence factors among Salmonella spp. FEMS Immunology Medical Micribiology, 44: 251-259.
- Vieira A, Jensen AR, Pires SM, Karlsmose S, Wegener HC, Wong DLF (2009). WHO global foodborne infections network country databank: a resource to linkhuman and non-human sources of *Salmonella*. Proc. 12<sup>dl</sup> Symposium of the International Society for Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics, Durban, South Africa
- Wagner C, Hensel M (2011.) Adhesive mechanisms of Salmonella enterica, p.17-34. In: Linke D. and Goldman A (Eds), Bacterial Adhesion Chemistry, Biology and Physics. Springer, New York.