

*Full Length Research Paper*

# Impact of Ficus Sycomorus (Shola) Supplementation on Washera Sheep's Performance on Natural Pasture Hay

Aaron Bekele\*

Addis Ababa Science and Technology University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Accepted 3 December, 2024

The purpose of the experiment was to determine how adding *F. sycomorus* leaves, fruit, and their mixes to sheep fed hay as a basal diet affected the animals' ability to digest the feed. Twenty intact male yearling Washera sheep with an initial body weight mean ( $\pm$ SD) of  $17.5 \pm 0.39$  kg were used in the experiment, which was conducted in Gish Abay in Sekela Woreda, West Gojjam Zone. Before the trial began, the animals received vaccinations against anthrax and pasteurellosis, were malformed, and received sprays against internal and external parasites, respectively. For fifteen days, the experimental sheep were acclimated to the treatment diets. The investigation included harness training and a 10-day digestibility testing. Based on the animals' starting body weight, the experiment was set up in five blocks using a randomized complete block design (RCBD), with four animals each block. Within a block, dietary treatments were assigned at random to one of the four treatment diets. Treatments included feeding natural pasture hay freely (un-supplemented: T1), supplementing natural hay with *F. sycomorus* leaf (Treatment 2: T2), *F. sycomorus* fruit (Treatment 4; T4), or a 1:1 blend of *F. sycomorus* leaf and fruit (Treatment 3; T3). On a DM basis, 300 g of supplements were provided daily. Salt and water were freely accessible. In the current investigation, the natural pasture hay had 43.6% acid detergent fiber (ADF), 73.1% neutral detergent fiber (NDF), and 8.0% crude protein (CP). Compared to the supplemented group, the sheep in the unsupplemented treatment had a greater ( $p < 0.001$ ) basal dry matter consumption (581.6 g/day). However, the sheep in the supplemented group (T2-T4) consumed more total DM than the sheep in the unsupplemented (control) group. The digestibility coefficients of DM, organic matter (OM), and CP were all markedly enhanced by supplementation ( $P < 0.001$ ). In comparison to the unsupplemented group, supplementation also improved the digestion of NDF and ADF ( $P < 0.001$ ). Therefore, it may be said that overall, supplementation enhanced animal performance. However, T2 is the most biologically optimal of the supplements.

**Key words:** Digestibility, Feed Intake, and Washera Sheep.

## INTRODUCTION

Common There are an estimated 26.1 million indigenous sheep in Ethiopia, representing a diversified population (CSA, 2009). According to Solomon et al. (2007), there are roughly 14 traditional sheep communities in Ethiopia. The Amhara National Regional State's West and East Gojjam Zones, which stretch south of Lake Tana, are home to the traditional Dangla (Washera) sheep. At birth and after weaning, Washera sheep weigh roughly 2.8 and 13.8 kg, respectively. After weaning, the growth rate is on par with or even higher than that of several other native breeds. This suggests that this breed has the capacity to

produce commercial mutton for both domestic and international markets (Kassahun and Solomon, 2008).

Ethiopian livestock productivity is often low despite the country's enormous population, mostly because of both technical and non-technical limitations (EARO, 2001). Animal output in the nation is hampered by a number of technical issues, including limited genetic potential, illnesses, and inadequate nutrition in terms of both quantity and quality. In most parts of the country, animal output is limited by a lack of feed, especially during the dry season (Alemayehu, 2005). Due to either insufficient supply or poor

feed quality, the available feed supplies in many regions of the nation are unable to satisfy the year-round nutritional needs of livestock (Adugna, 2008). The primary sources of livestock feed in Ethiopia are agricultural wastes and natural grazing, both of which are low in protein and calories and severely restrict sheep productivity (CTA, 1991). While fodder conservation is rarely used to assist minimize seasonal feed supply variations, such feed deficits result in the loss of weight gains acquired during more favorable periods (Alemayehu, 1995). Consequently, the average carcass weight of sheep is 10 kg, the second-lowest among sub-Saharan African nations (FAO, 2004), and the yearly offtake is anticipated to be 33% (EPA, 2002). However, by deliberately supplementing animals with readily available protein and energy sources, such as multipurpose trees (MPT) or agroindustrial byproducts, these trends of occurrences can be reversed. However, the use of agroindustrial byproducts is restricted to the region in which they are generated, or their broader application is constrained by economic considerations.

This necessitates looking for substitute feed sources that could be added to animals' diets to enhance their performance. Due to their increased nutrient content and abundance in many agro-ecological settings, multipurpose trees (MPT) are one of the options that should be used. The leaf and fruit of *F. sycomorus* are one possible source in the research region in this respect. According to Orwa et al. (2009), *F. sycomorus* is MPT and a member of the Ethiopian-native Moraceae family. Amhara National Regional State offers it. Sheep, goats, and cattle have been found to eat *F. sycomorus* (Teferi et al., 2008). With a relatively high nutritional value of roughly 14–17.95% crude protein (CP) and 12 MJ/kg net energy on a DM basis, *F. sycomorus* leaves are highly sought-after fodder in overpopulated semi-arid regions where the trees naturally occur (Nkafamiya, 2010; Devendra, 1990).

Compared to other tree species, *F. sycomorus* leaves and petioles have higher levels of apparent digestibility and are well-liked by West African dwarf lambs (Anugwa and Okori, 1987). In Nigeria, it is actively encouraged to feed lambs *Ficus* fodder. The plant produces round, multicolored fruits with a noticeable opening that may shatter at one end. The fruits range in diameter from 2.8 to 5 cm. In the semi-arid northern Kenyan riverine forest, Makishima (2005) discovered that *F. sycomorus* is the most plentiful fruit source for frugivorous animals. Chimpanzees are known to rely on *Ficus* species as backup food sources when fruit is scarce (Fruichi et al., 2001). In Africa, *Ficus* fruits are accessible three to five times a year (Kinnaird, 1992).

Sheep in the Sekela District, where this study was carried out, graze on natural pasture, fallow land, and crop leftovers; however, the nutrients provided by these feed sources are not enough to meet the animals' needs for development, maintenance, and production. During the dry season, local farmers occasionally buy protein supplements like cotton seed meal and subpar roughage, but they are not properly used. Additionally, because the tree grows around the farm, the animals eat the fruit and falling leaves of *F. sycomorus*.

Land dwelling and on the region that is deteriorating. In actuality, during the dry season, small ruminants can obtain a lot of nutrients from the leaves and fruit of trees. However, there hasn't been much research done in the study area on the systematic evaluation of the value of *F. sycomorus* fruit and leaves for sheep. Therefore, the purpose of the current study was to assess how supplementing Washera sheep fed natural pasture hay with *F. sycomorus* leaves, fruit, and their combinations affected their intake, digestibility, body weight increase, and carcass characteristics. to evaluate the financial impact of adding *F. sycomorus* as a supplement.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study Sites

Sekela Woreda in the West Gojjam Administrative Zone of North-Western Ethiopia is where this study was carried out. The property is 466 kilometers northwest of Addis Ababa and ranges in elevation from 2013 to 3257 meters above sea level. The region received 1738 mm of rain on average every year, with a bimodal distribution from February to April and June to September. According to Worldclim (2009), the average annual minimum temperature was 8°C, while the average annual maximum temperature was 21°C. Degraded farmlands and an undulating terrain characterize Sekela. Growing eucalyptus trees around farmlands and homesteads was a widespread practice in the Woreda, where mixed crop-livestock production was the predominant farming style. For many individuals in the Woreda, animal production is essential to their safety and survival. The Sekela Agricultural and Rural Development Office (SARDO, 2009) reports that households own an average of 0.75 hectares of land.

### Feed Preparation

At the conclusion of the rainy season, leaves of *F. sycomorus* were collected from communal areas, local farmers' yards, and riverbanks near Gish Abay by climbing the tree and cutting its branches. The amount of sunshine that promotes drying and optimal leaf growth, which increases biomass, was used to identify the best time to harvest. Additionally, *F. sycomorus* fruit was harvested from the indigenous tree fruit in the vicinity of Gish Abay. Following the red ripening of the tree fruit, the fruits were collected beneath the tree plant. With the aid of man, fruit fell. The fruit and leaves were allowed to air dry in the shade. After lopping, the twigs separated, removing the petiole from the *F. sycomorus* leaf. After partially crushing the dried leaf, the fruit was gathered, placed in sacks, and kept in a well-ventilated shade area until it was time to use it at room temperature. Sufficient amounts of the experimental diets were kept on hand for use throughout the duration of the trial. Natural pasture hay, which was bought from nearby farmers, served as the base feed. Following harvest, the hay was sent to the research locations, kept in a shaded area to preserve its quality, and utilized as a base diet for the duration of the experiment. To reduce the amount of selective feeding by the sheep, the hay was hand chopped to a size of roughly 1-6 cm.

### Animals and Management

We bought twenty intact male Washera yearlings from the Gish Abay local market. The animals spent fifteen days in confinement. All sheep were ear-tagged for identification purposes during this time, sprayed with acaricides (diazinole) for external parasites, and given injections of Ivermectin solution for internal parasites. Additionally, according to the veterinarian's prescription, they received vaccinations against common infectious diseases in the region, including pasteurellosis, anthrax, and sheep pox. At the start of the acclimatization phase, the animals' initial body weights were measured twice in a row following an overnight fast ( $17.5 \pm 0.39$ ; Mean  $\pm$  SD). Based on these initial BWs, the animals were divided into five blocks of four each. Before the real trial started, the sheep were acclimated to the experimental meals for a further two weeks.

A bucket and bamboo feed bins were provided in the corral where the experimental sheep were housed individually. Every day, the pens were cleaned before the feed for the day was given.

Ad libitum intake of measured amounts of natural pasture hay was permitted, with a 25% rejection rate. The feed offer was modified. Equal doses of supplements were given to the supplemented sheep twice a day at 8:00 and 16:00. Throughout the day, the animals had unrestricted access to water and salt block. Hay was provided with locally sourced bamboo feed bins, and the fruit and leaf were offered with plastic sheets tied to bamboo sticks above the cage and above the feed bins to catch any falling fruit or leaves.

### Experimental Design and Treatments

This experiment employed a completely randomized block design as its experimental design. The animals' initial weights were calculated by averaging two subsequent weigh-ins following an overnight fast. Sheep were divided into five groups according to their starting body weight, and each treatment was given to five animals at random. Within a block, dietary treatments were assigned at random to one of the four treatment diets. Feeding natural pasture hay ad libitum (un-supplemented: T1), supplementing natural pasture hay with *F. sycomorus* leaf (Treatment 2: T2), *F. sycomorus* fruit (Treatment 4; T4), or a 1:1 blend of *F. sycomorus* leaf and fruit (Treatment 3; T3) were the treatments. On a DM basis, 300 g of supplements were provided daily.

### Digestion Trial

After two weeks of adaption, a ten-day digestion testing was carried out. After three days of getting used to carrying fecal collection bags (harness), the feces collection was carried out for seven days. The digestibility trial involved every experimental animal. Every morning, before the animal was fed for the day, its excrement were gathered and weighed. Each sheep's daily excrement was mixed thoroughly, and 20% was sampled. It was then placed in an airtight plastic container and frozen at -20 °C until the digestibility test was over. Following the collection period, each animal's daily frozen fecal samples were combined, carefully mixed, and subsampled for analysis.

The fecal samples were shipped in an ice box to the Poly Food Science Laboratory at Bahir Dar University. There, they were dried at 60°C for 72 hours to maintain a constant weight and pulverized to fit through a 1mm sieve. While awaiting chemical analysis, the ground samples were kept in an airtight container. For analysis, the dehydrated samples were brought to the Nutritional Laboratory at Haramaya University. The apparent digestibility co-efficient (DC) of nutrients were estimated following the equation suggested by Ranjhan (2001):

$$DC = \frac{\text{Total amount of nutrients in feed} - \text{nutrients in feces}}{\text{Total amount of nutrients in feed}}$$

Total amount of nutrients in feed

By multiplying the feed's OM content by its digestibility coefficient, the digestible organic matter content of treatment feeds was calculated. However, the McDonald et al. equation was used to determine the estimated metabolizable energy intake of sheep from treatment meals. (2002) as:  $ME (MJ/KgDM) = 0.016 \times DOMD$ , Where  $DOMD$  = is gram digestible organic matter per kilogram dry matter

### Feeding intake

Intake of food was measured. Throughout the study period, the experimental sheep's daily feed intake was measured and documented, along with the corresponding refusals. Based on the kind of feed, representative samples of feed offers per batch and refusals per animal were gathered, stored, and pooled over the course of the experiment before being subsampled for chemical analysis. The difference between the amounts of feed offered and

rejected was used to determine each sheep's daily feed consumption. The substitution rate was computed by dividing the amount of supplement provided by the difference between the un-supplemented and supplemented groups' basal diet intake (Ponnampalam et al., 2004).

### Chemical Analysis

In accordance with AOAC's (1990) protocol, representative (composite) feed offer, rejection, and fecal samples obtained during the digestibility trial were ground to fit through a 1 mm sieve screen size and examined for DM and ash. Each ingredient's acid detergent fiber (ADF), NDF, and ADL components were identified using Van Soest and Robertson's (1985) methods. Using a nitrogen factor of 6.25, N was multiplied to estimate the crude protein.

### Statistical Analysis

The general linear model approach in SAS software (V9) was used to perform an analysis of variance (ANOVA) on digestible feed intake and digestibility (SAS, 2002). Correlation analysis was used to examine the relationship between digestibility and nutrient intake. Treatment means were separated using least significant difference (LSD). The model employed was:  $Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + e_{ij}$ ,  
Where;  $Y_{ij}$  = Response variable  
 $\mu$  = Overall mean  
 $\tau_i$  = Treatment effect  
 $\beta_j$  = Block effect (initial body weight)  $e_{ij}$  = Random error

## RESULTS

### Chemical Composition of the Experimental Feeds

Table 1 below lists the chemical makeup of the feedstuff employed in this investigation. The leaf of *F. sycomorus* had a CP content of 17.9% in the current experiment. In this investigation, the leaf of *F. sycomorus* has the following DM-based contents: 64.6%, 52.5%, 17.4%, 93.2%, and 11.9% for NDF, ADF, ADL, and DM. However, in the present investigation, the fruit of *F. sycomorus* had a CP content of 11.8%. With a greater NDF and ADF composition, the hay provided to the experimental animals in this study had a CP content of 7.9%.

### Feed Intake

Table 2 shows the average daily intakes of DM, OM, CP, NDF, and ADF for Washera sheep that were fed a natural pasture hay base diet supplemented with *F. sycomorus* leaves, fruit, and their mixes. Sheep on the T1 diet had a higher basal feed DM intake ( $P > 0.05$ ) than sheep in the supplemented group (T2-T4). Sheep in T2 consumed more dry matter from the basic diet than sheep in T3 or T4 among the supplemented group ( $P < 0.05$ ). The decreased baseline DM consumption seen for sheep in T4 and T3 compared to sheep in T2 may be explained by variations in the nitrogen content of the various supplements, even though the supplemented group received an identical amount of the supplements (300 g/day). Due to variations in the crude protein content of the various supplement types, the total DM intake was higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) in the order  $T2 > T3 > T4$ .

The unsupplemented group's average daily CP intake was considerably lower than that of the supplemented sheep ( $P < 0.001$ ). This might be explained by the basal feed's comparatively low CP content. Sheep in the supplemented group (T2-T4) had considerably greater intakes of CP, OM, NDF, and ADF than the unsupplemented group (T1) in the current study ( $P < 0.001$ ). This might be because the supplementation that increased feed intake improved the condition of the rumen. Additionally, the supplemented group's estimated metabolizable energy intake (EME) was considerably higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) than that of the unsupplemented sheep. Sheep in the supplemented group consumed more total DM as a percentage of body weight than those in the unsupplemented group. Sheep in T2 exhibited the highest DMI among the supplements, indicated as a percentage of body weight, followed by

those in T3 and T4. In the current experiment, the rate of replacement was higher, and there was a significant difference between the dietary treatments ( $P < 0.001$ ).

### Dry Matter and Nutrients Digestibility

Table 3 below shows the nutrient digestion coefficient for sheep fed hay only and those supplemented with *F. sycomorus* leaves, fruit, and their mixture.

In comparison to the unsupplemented, the current supplementation technique generally improved ( $P < 0.05$ ) the digestibility of feed DM, OM, CP, NDF, and ADF. The comparatively low CP composition and greater fiber fraction in the basal feed may be the cause of the poorer digestion coefficients for the animals in the unsupplemented group. However, T2's apparent digestibility coefficient of DM and OM was substantially greater ( $P < 0.01$ ) than T3's and T4's among the supplemented group. T2 had the highest digestibility coefficient of CP ( $P < 0.001$ ), followed by T3 and T4.

### Correlation between Intake, Digestibility and Body Weight

Table 4 below shows the association coefficient between body weight and carcass properties, digestibility, and nutritional consumption. CP consumption, DM, OM, and CP digestibility were all favorably connected ( $P < 0.001$ ) with total DM intake. All other nutrient consumption rose in tandem with the overall DM intake. One possible explanation is that supplementing affects the microorganisms' ability to ferment, which increases the absorption of DM.

CP consumption, DM, OM, and CP digestibility were all favorably connected ( $P < 0.001$ ) with total DM intake. All other nutrient consumption rose in tandem with the overall DM intake. One possible explanation is that supplementing affects the microorganisms' ability to ferment, which increases the absorption of DM.

Intake of crude protein is also positively ( $P < 0.001$ ) correlated with OM and CP digestibility. This link indicates that the primary contributor for sheep was the increase in DM and CP intake.

## DISCUSSION

### Chemical Composition of the Experimental Feeds

Table 1 below lists the chemical makeup of the feedstuff employed in this investigation. The leaf of *F. sycomorus* had a CP content of 17.9% in the current experiment. Nkafamiya et al. (2010) also found a similar result (17.95%). However, with *F. sycomorus* leaf, Njidda and Ikhimiya (2010) and Lorenzo (2002) reported 14.9 and 22.1% CP, respectively. In this investigation, the leaf of *F. sycomorus* has the following DM-based contents: 64.6%, 52.5%, 17.4%, 93.2%, and 11.9% for NDF, ADF, ADL, and DM. According to Njidda and Ikhimiya (2010), the NDF and ADF composition results were greater than 54.8% and 33.4%, respectively. Regarding the CP composition of *F. sycomorus* leaves, however, there is inconsistency in the literature. According to Nkafamiya et al. (2010), 17.95%. The reported DM content of the leaf of *F. sycomorus*

reported by Nkafamiya et al. (2010). Furthermore, the ash content of *F. sycomorus* leaves was higher than that of the plant's fruit and organic pasture hay. However, the fruit of *F. sycomorus* in the present study had a greater CP level than the 6.9–9.5% reported by Lorenzo (2002) and Makishima (2005). According to Chanda and Bhaid (1987) and Divakaran et al. (1985), the chemical constituents that differ between the current findings and the literature may be caused by variations in the harvesting season, harvesting stage, species growth pattern within the genus, genetic potential, bioclimatic conditions, and cropping systems.

With a greater NDF and ADF composition, the hay provided to the experimental animals in this study had a CP content of 7.9%. The CP content of the hay found in this study was expected to meet the animals' maintenance needs, despite being greater than the 4.2% and 3.7% reported by Mulu et al. (2008) and Asnakew (2005), respectively, and lower than the 10.9% reported by Yihalem (2004). As a result, the hay used in this study was classified as having a high CP content and being of good quality. According to Van Soest (1982), ruminants require a CP value between 7 and 7.5% to meet their maintenance needs.

### Feed Intake

Sheep on the T1 diet had a higher basal feed DM intake ( $P > 0.05$ ) than sheep in the supplemented group (T2–T4). Sheep in T2 consumed more dry matter from the basic diet than sheep in T3 or T4 among the supplemented group ( $p < 0.05$ ). The decreased baseline DM consumption seen for sheep in T4 and T3 compared to sheep in T2 may be explained by variations in the nitrogen content of the various supplements, even though the supplemented group received an identical amount of the supplements (300 g/day). As a result, the overall dry matter intake has suffered. According to Topps (1997), supplementation levels above 30–40% of the total DM provided decrease basal feed consumption.

Due to variations in the crude protein content of the various supplement types, the total DM intake was higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) in the order T2>T3>T4. Because supplementation may have produced a favorable rumen environment that led to improved fermentation of the basal roughage and consequently greater microbial protein synthesis, the supplemented sheep consumed higher total DM (Osuji et al., 1995). The increase in the intake of vital nutrients like energy, vitamins, minerals, and especially nitrogen may have contributed to the beneficial effects of supplementation on feed consumption. Additionally, compared to natural pasture hay, the supplements may have a lower gut fill, which could explain the greater total DM intake in the supplemented group. The present investigation found that supplementation increased total DM intake, which was consistent with the findings of Hirut (2008) and Wondwosen (2008).

The unsupplemented group's average daily CP intake was considerably lower than that of the supplemented sheep ( $P < 0.001$ ). This might be explained by the basal feed's comparatively low CP content. Nonetheless, the

was greater than the 85.9% found by Nkafamiya et al. (2010) but lower than the 95.6% recorded by Njidda and Ikhimiya (2010). The current study's ash content of *F. sycomorus* leaves is lower than the 18% reported by Njidda and Ikhimiya (2010) but greater than the 9.5%

basal diet's CP content was marginally more than small ruminants' maintenance needs (Minson, 1990; Gatenby, 2002). Sheep in the supplemented group (T2-T4) had considerably greater intakes of CP, OM, NDF, and ADF than the unsupplemented group (T1) in the current study ( $P < 0.001$ ). This might be because the supplementation that increased feed intake improved the condition of the rumen. According to Adugna and Sundstol (2000), the supplemented group's higher intake may have resulted from improved digestion and greater nitrogen availability to rumen bacteria. However, among the supplemented group, it was higher for sheep in T2, T3, and T4. The leaf of *F. sycomorus* has a higher CP (17.9%) than to *F. sycomorus* fruit (11.8%) may have caused the intakes of sheep in T2 and T3 to be higher. Dietary protein supplementation is known to increase intake by increasing the supply of nitrogen to the rumen microbes or by decreasing the retention time of poor quality feed after supplementing microorganisms with concentrates and stimulating their function in the rumen (Kempton et al., 1979). Additionally, the supplemented group's estimated metabolizable energy intake (EME) was considerably higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) than that of the unsupplemented sheep. With a higher level of *F. sycomorus* leaf, as previously proposed, the energy intake rose in the order of  $T2 > T3 > T4$  (Montaldo, 1972). In the current experiment, the rate of replacement was higher, and there was a significant difference between the dietary treatments ( $P < 0.001$ ). Animals that eat forage with low to medium digestibility frequently have poor substitution rates. Doyle et al. (1988) proposed that the replacement rate—the rate at which the amount of hay consumed decreases as the amount of supplements consumed increases—is a direct indicator of how the supplement affects the fractional rates of digestion and outflow from the rumen. Compared to supplement feeds that ferment more slowly, those with a faster rate of fermentation replace the basal roughage to a lesser degree (Nsahalai and Ummuna, 1996).

### Dry Matter and Nutrients Digestibility

In comparison to the unsupplemented, the current supplementation technique generally improved ( $P < 0.05$ ) the digestibility of feed DM, OM, CP, NDF, and ADF. The comparatively low CP composition and greater fiber fraction in the basal feed may be the cause of the poorer digestion coefficients for the animals in the unsupplemented group. However, T2's apparent digestibility coefficient of DM and OM was substantially greater ( $P < 0.01$ ) than T3's and T4's among the supplemented group. T2 had the highest digestibility coefficient of CP ( $P < 0.001$ ), followed by T3 and T4. The results are consistent with those of McDonald et al. (2002), who found that improved CP digestibility is linked to higher CP intake. The digestibility of OM and NDF does not alter statistically significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) between T3 and T4. The supplemented group in this study had DM digestibility that was comparable to the 75.8–80%

observed by Tegbe et al. (2005) in West African dwarf goats fed a basal diet of *Panicum maximum* supplemented with leaves and concentrate from *M. indica*, *F. thonningii*, and *G. sepium*. Tree and shrub species also differed greatly in dry matter digestibility (DMD), which is correlated with nutritional composition. Ahn et al. (1989) have demonstrated that drying MPT leaf reduces tannin content, which increases protein digestibility from 64 to 84%. Anugwa and Okori (1987) also reported that fresh *Ficus* leaf and petioles were well received by West African dwarf lambs and resulted in higher levels of apparent digestibility than the other tree species, ranging from 70.1% for crude fiber (CF) to 81.8% for crude protein. The lower amount of dietary CP and higher fiber fraction in the unsupplemented sheep compared to the supplemented sheep may be the cause of the decreased digestibility of DM and ADF. A reduced CP concentration in feed has an impact on rumen fermentation and microbial development, according to Bonsi et al. (1995). According to Banamana et al. (1990), adding CP in the diet improved the digestibility of OM, ADF, and CP, which is consistent with the current investigation. Thus, adding *F. sycomorus* leaves, fruit, and their mixture to low-quality meals increases their digestibility.

### Correlation between Intake, Digestibility, Body Weight and Carcass Parameters

Intake of crude protein is also positively ( $P < 0.001$ ) correlated with OM and CP digestibility. According to Solomon et al. (2003), this correlation showed that higher CP intake led to higher CP digestibility, which was positively correlated with ADG. Additionally, there was a positive correlation ( $P < 0.001$ ) between digestibility and OM's digestibility. It is possible to infer from these association data that the majority of the indicators can be impacted by DM and CP consumption.

### CONCLUSION

This study confirmed that giving intact male yearling Washera sheep natural pasture hay alone (T1) or natural pasture hay supplemented with either *F. sycomorus* leaves (T2), a leaf and fruit mixture (T3), or fruit (T4) enhanced the animals' performance when compared to the unsupplemented (T1) condition. Sheep that were supplemented with 300g of FSL, FSF, and their mixture (FSL: FSL) performed better overall than those that were not. T2 supplementation for sheep is a feeding method that is both economically and biologically optimal.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

The use of *F. sycomorus* leaves and fruits as a supplement to increase sheep productivity is both cost-effective and biologically favorable due to the scarcity of pasture/grazing land, the high cost of agroindustrial byproducts, and growing competition with other livestock. Smallholder farmers are encouraged to supplement sheep with *F. sycomorus* leaf in order to take use of the animals' genetic potential, as this practice produced the best performance parameters in sheep and the highest income.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Sekela Agricultural and Rural Development Office for providing the farm facilities to run the experiment. The Federal Government of Ethiopia, through the Ethiopian Ministry of Education, is duly acknowledged for fully financing of this experiment. The advisor Yoseph Mekasha (Dr. Ass. Proff) is highly appreciated. Our appreciation also goes to my parents Ato Kassa Zewdie Ayana and W/o Yeneworke Addimassie Yeshanehi.

## REFERENCES

- Abebe, H. 2008. Supplementation of graded levels of concentrate mix on feed intake digestibility, live weight change and carcass characteristics of Washera sheep fed urea treated rice straw. An MSc. Thesis Presented to School of Graduate Studies, Haramaya University, Ethiopia. 25p.
- Adebawale, E.A., E.R. Orskov and W.J. Shand. 1991. Use of ash cocoa pod husk as source of alkali for up grading crop residues with/ without hydrogen peroxide. *Journal of Tropical Agricultural* 68:27-32.
- Adugna, T. 2008. Livestock Feed Supply Situation In Ethiopia: Commercialization of Livestock
- Agriculture in Ethiopia .In proceeding of the 16th Annual Conference of Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP).Held In Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Part I, Plenary Session, pp: 21-25.
- Adugna, T., and F. Sundstøl. 2000. Supplementation of graded levels of Desmodium intortum hay to sheep feeding on maize stover harvested at three stages of maturity. Feed intake, digestibility and body weight change. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 85: 239-257.
- Ahn, J.H., B.M. Robertson, R.R Elliott, C. Gutteridge, and C.W. Ford. 1989. Quality assessment of tropical browse legumes: tannin content and protein degradation. *Journal of Animal Feed Science and Technology*. pp:147-156.
- Alemayehu, M. 1995. The Borana and the 1991-92 Drought: A Rangeland and Livestock Resource Study, Institute of Sustainable Development, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 102p.
- Alemayehu, M. 2005. Rangelands: Biodiversity Conservation and Management and Inventory and Monitoring. Addis Ababa University, Faculty of Science, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 103p.
- Ameha, S., N.H. Casey, W.A. Vanniekirk, T. Azage, R.J. Coertze. 2007. Growth performance and carcass characteristics of three Ethiopian goat breeds fed grain less diets varying in concentrate to roughage ratios. *South African Journal of Animal Science*. 4:251-252.
- AOAC. 1990. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 15th ed. Association of official analytical chemists, Washington, DC.
- Asnakew, A. 2005. Feedlot fattening performance and carcass characterization of intact male Hararghe highland goat fed different level of hay to concentrate ratios. An MSc Thesis submitted to the department of animal science, School of Graduate studies of Alemaya University, 65p.
- Banamana, M.S., J.D. Oldman and A. Mowlem. 1990. The effect of amount of protein in the concentrate on hay intake and rate of passage, diet digestibility and milk production in British Saanen goat. *Journal of Animal Production*. 51:333-342.
- Bonsi, M.L.K., P.O. Osuji and A.K. Tuah. 1995. Effect of supplementing teff straw with different levels of Leucaena or Sasbania leaves on the degradability of teff straw, Sasbania, Leucaena, Tagasaste and Vernonia on certain rumen and blood metabolites in Ethiopian sheep. *Journal of Animal Feed Sciences and Technology*. 52: 101-129.
- Chanda, S.K and M.U. Bhaid. 1987. Studies on the digestibility and nutritive value of common dried fodder tree leaf of M.P. on goats: 4. Hay of phekhar tree leaf (Ficus tiela Roxb). *Journal of Livestock Adviser*. 12(3):58
- CSA. 2009. Federal Republic of Ethiopia Agricultural sample survey. Report on Livestock characteristics. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 207p.
- CTA. 1991. Sheep. Rene. coste 9th ed, McMillan presses. (UK) pp:1-50.
- Devendra, C. 1990. The use of shrubs and tree fodders by ruminants. In: *Shrubs and Tree Fodders for Farm Animals*, C. Devendra (ed.), International Development Research Centre, IDRC-276e, Ottawa, Canada, pp: 42– 60.
- Devendra, C., and M. Burns. 1983. Feeding and nutrition. In: *Goat production in the tropics*. CAB (Common wealth Agriculture Bureaux), London, UK. pp: 56-115.
- Divakaran Nair, N., K.V. Valsala, K.M. Ramachandran, and A.B. Rajan. 1985. Experimental studies on chela leaf (Ficus tiela Roxb) poisoning in calves. *Kerala Journal of Veterinary Science*. 16:94- 99.
- Doyle, P.T., H. Dove and M. Freer. 1988. Effects of a concentrate supplement on the intake and digestibility of low- quality roughage by lambs. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 111:503-511.
- EARO. 2001. Brighter future for Ethiopians through agricultural research: National agricultural research strategy 2001 –2020. Addis Ababa.
- EPA. 2002. Ethiopian privatization Agency, (<http://www.telecom.net/~epa/sectors/leather.html> visited on Feb, 2010).
- FAO. 2004. FAO Statistical Databases. (<http://www.faostatfao.org/faostat/collections> accessed on 15 Feb, 2010).
- Fruichi, T., C. Hashimoto and Y. Tashiro. 2001. Fruit availability and habitat use by chimpanzees in the Kalinzu Forest, Uganda: examination of fallback foods. *Journal of International Journal of Primatology*. 22: 929- 945.
- Gatenby, R.M. 2002. Sheep, The tropical Agriculturalist Series. Mc Millan publishers. P:178. (<http://www.ext.vt.edu/about/equal-opportunity.html> visited on May, 2009).
- Getahun, L. 2001. Growth pattern and carcass characteristics of Somali and Mid-Rift valley goats. An M.Sc. thesis Presented to the School of Graduate Studies. Alemaya University. pp: 1- 106.
- Gilmour, A.R. and J.J. Cullis, N.M. Fogarty, and R. Banks. 1994. Genetic parameter for ultrasonic Fat depth and eye muscle measurement in live Poll Dorset sheep. *Australia Journal of Agricultural Research*. 45:1281-1291.
- Haile, A, and T. Tolemariam. 2008. The feed values of indigenous multipurpose trees for sheep in thiopia: The case of Vernonia amygdalina, Buddlejapolystachya and Maesalancelata. *Journal of Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 20:45.
- Hirut, Y. 2008. Supplementation of concentrate mix to Hararghe Highland sheep fed a basal diet of urea treated maize stover; effect on feed utilization, live weight change and carcass characteristics. An M.Sc. Thesis Presented to the School of Graduate Studies of Haramaya University. 75p.
- Kassahun, A. and A. Solomon. 2008. Breeds of Sheep and Goats. In: Alemu Yami and R.C. Merkel (eds). *Sheep and Goat Production Handbook for Ethiopia*. Ethiopian Sheep and Goat Productivity Improvement Program. pp: 12-13.
- Kempton, T.J., V.J. Nolan and A.R. Leng. 1979. Principles for the use of non- protein and by- pass protein in diets of ruminants. *FAO Anim. Production and Health paper*. 12. FAO. Rome.
- Kinnaird, M.F. 1992. Phenology of flowering and fruiting of an East African riverine forest co system. *Journal of Biotropical*. 24(2) 187-194.
- Lawrence, T.C.J., and V.R. Fowler. 1998. Growth of farm animals. CABI Publishing, London. pp: 271-273.
- Leng, R. 1997. Tree foliage in ruminant nutrition. *FAO Animal production and health paper*: No. 139, Rome, Italy. (<http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/w7448e/W7448E00.htm> visited on Feb, 2010).
- Lorenzo, M. 2002. Feeding ecology and human evolution. (<http://www.naturalhub.com/opinion/rightfoodforthehuman.html> visited June, 2011).
- Mahgoub, O and D. Lu, M.S. Hameed, A. Richie, A.S. Al-Halali, and K. Annamalai. 2005. Performance of Omani goats fed diet containing various metabolizable energy densities. *Journal of Small Ruminant Research*. 58:175-180.
- Makishima, H. 2005. Ficus sycomorus fruit production in a Semi Arid Land in Northern Kenya: implications for understanding a possible food resource of early Hominids African study Monographs. *Journal of dry land*. 32:79-86.
- McDonald, R.E., R.A. Edward, J.F.D. Greenhalgh, G.A. Morgan. 2002. *Animal nutrition* 6th edition. Longman scientific and technical Co- published in the USA. John Wiley and Sons incorporated, New York. 590p.
- Minson, D.J. 1990. Nutritional differences between Tropical and Temperate pastures. In: *Grazing animals*. Ed. Morley, F.H.W., C. A.B., Farnham Royal, Slough, UK. pp: 167-182.
- Montaldo, A. 1972. Cultivo de raices y tuberculos tropicales Lima Peru instituto

- Interamericano de Ciencias Agrícolas de la OEA. P: 248.
- Mulu, M., T. Berehan and Y. Alemu. 2008. The effect of grass hay with different level of Brewer's Dried Grain on feed intake, digestibility and body weight gain intact Wogera lambs. *East African Journal of Science*. 2(2):105-110.
- Nijidda, A.A., and I. Ikimiya. 2010. Correlation between chemical composition and In Vitro Dry matter digestibility of leaf of semi-arid browse of north-eastern Nigeria. *American – Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental science*. 9(2):169-175.
- Nkafamiya, I.I., S.A. Osemeahon, U.U. Modibbo, and A. Aminu. 2010. Nutritional status of non- conventional leafy vegetables, *Ficus asperifolia* and *Ficus sycomorus*. *African Journal of Food Science*. 4(3):104- 108.
- Nsahalai, I.V., and N.N. Ummuna. 1996. Sesbania and Lablab supplementation of oat hay basal diet fed to sheep with or without maize grain. *Journal of Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 61: 275-289.
- Orwa, C., A. Mutua, R. Kindt, R. Jamnadass, and S. Anthony. 2009. Agroforestry database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. (<http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sites/treedbs/treedatabases.asp> visited Oct, 2010).
- Osuji, P.A., and A.A. Odenyo. 1997. The role of legume forages as supplements to low quality forages-ILRI experience. *Journal of Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 69: 27-38.
- Osuji, P.O., I.V. Nsahlai, and H. Khalili. 1993. Feed evaluation. *ILCA Manual 5*. ILCA (International Livestock Centre for Africa), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 40 p.
- Osuji, P.O., S. Fernandez-Rivera and A.A. Odenyo. 1995. Improving fiber utilization and protein supply in animals fed poor quality roughages: ILRI nutrition research and plans. In: *Rumen Ecology Research Planning. Proceedings of a Workshop Held at ILRI, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 13-18 March 1995*. pp: 1-22.
- Ponnampalam, E.N., R.M. Dixon, B.J. Hosking and A.R. Egan. 2004. Intake, growth and carcass characteristics of lambs consuming low digestibility hay and cereal grains. *Journal of Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 114: 31-41.
- Purchas, R.W. 1978. Some effects of nutrition and castration on meat production from male Suffolk crosses lambs. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 21: 367-376.
- Ranjhan, S.K. 2001. *Animal nutrition in the tropics*. 4th (eds). vikas publishing house, new delhi, 51p.
- Reed, J.J., H. Soller and A. Woodward. 1990. Fodder tree and Stover diets for sheep: Intake, growth, digestibility and the effect of phenolics on nitrogen utilization. *Journal of Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 30:39-50.
- SARDO. 2009. Annual report on general agricultural activities. SARDO (Sekela Agricultural and Rural Development Office). Sekela. Unpublished report.
- Sarwatt, S.V., S.S. Kapange, and M.V. Kakeng. 2002. The effects on intake, digestibility and growth of goats when sunflower seed cake is replaced with *Moringa oleifera* leaf in supplements fed with *Chloris gayana* hay. *Journal of Agro forestry systems*. 56:241-247.
- SAS . 2002. SAS/STAT Guide to personal computers, version 9. Statistical Analysis System Institute. Inc., NC. North Carolina, USA.
- Solomon, G. 2008. Sheep Resources of Ethiopia, Genetic diversity and breeding strategy. PhD thesis. Wageningen University, the Netherlands ISBN: 978-90-8504-914.2., 145p.
- Solomon, M. 2001. Evaluation of Selected Multipurpose trees as Feed Supplements in teff (*Eragrostis tef*) straw based feeding of Menz Sheep. Ph.D. Thesis. Berlin, Germany.
- Solomon, M., and B. Simret. 2008. Bodyweight and carcass characteristics of Somali goats fed hay supplemented with graded levels of peanut cake and wheat bran mixture. *Journal of Tropical Animal Health and Production*. 40:553-560.
- Solomon, M., J. Kurt Peters, and T. Azage. 2003. In vitro and in situ evaluation of selected multipurpose trees, wheat bran and Lablab purpureus as potential feed supplements to teff (*Eragrostis tef*) straw. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 108 (2003): 159-179.
- Solomon, M., K.J. Petes, and T. Azage. 2004. Effect of supplementation with forage of selected multipurpose trees and their mixtures or wheat bran and feed intake, plasma enzyme activities, live weight and scrotal circumference gain in Menze sheep. *Journal of Livestock Production Science*. 89:253-264.
- Solomon, G., H. Arendonk Van Jam Komen, J.J. Windig, O. Hanotte. 2007. Population structure, genetic variation and morphological diversity in indigenous sheep of Ethiopia. *Anim. Genet*. 38: 621-628.
- Taylor, S.T., and J.I. Murray. 1991. Effect of feeding level, breed and milking potential Body tissues and organs of mature, non- lactating cows. *Journal of Animal Production*. 49: 385- 409.
- Teferi, A., S. Melaku and L. Nigatu. 2008. Management and utilization of browse species as livestock feed in semi-arid Woreda of North Ethiopia.
- Tegbe, T.S.B., I.A. Adeyinka, K.D. Baye, and J.P. Alawa. 2005. Evaluation of Feeding Graded Levels of Dried and Milled *Ficus thonningii* Leaves on Growth Performance, Carcass Characteristics and Organs of Weaner Rabbits. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 5(6): 548-550.
- Tesfay, H., and M. Solomon. 2008. Feed intake, digestibility, and body weight and carcass parameters of Afar rams fed teff (*Eragrostis tef*) straw supplemented with graded levels of concentrate mix. *Journal of Animal health and production*. DOI:10.1007/s 11250-008-9230-6.
- Topps, J.H. 1997. Forage legumes as protein supplement to poor quality diets in the semi- arid tropics. pp. 45-50. In: R.J. Wallace and A. Lahlov-kassi (eds), *Rumen Ecology Research Planning. Proceeding of a workshop held at ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 13-18 March 1995*
- Upton, M. 1979. *Farm management in Africa: the principle of production and planning* Oxford University press, Great Britain. pp: 282-298.
- Van Soest, P.J. 1982. *Nutritional ecology of the ruminant* O and B books, Corvallis, Oregon, USA.
- Van Soest, P.J., and J.B. Robertson. 1985. *Analysis of forage and fibrous feeds: a laboratory manual for animal sciences* 613. Cornell University Ithaca, New York, USA. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 74: 3583-3597.
- Wolf, B.T., C. Smith, D.I. Sales. 1980. Growth and carcass composition in the crossbred progeny of six terminal sire breeds of sheep. *Anim. Prod*. 31:307-313.
- Wondwosen, A. 2008. Effect of supplementing hay from natural pasture with oil seed cakes on feed intake, digestibility and live weight change of Sidama goats. An M.Sc. Thesis Presented to School of Graduate Studies, Haramaya University, and Ethiopia. 27p.
- Worldclim. 2009. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. ([http://www.worldclim.org/worldclim\\_IJC.pdf](http://www.worldclim.org/worldclim_IJC.pdf) visited on Feb, 2010).
- Yihalem, D. 2004. Assessment of Botanical Composition and Stage of Harvesting of Selected Natural Pasture for Optimum Utilization as Hay at Andassa Livestock Research Center, Northwestern Ethiopia. An MSc Thesis Presented to the School of Graduate Studies of Alemaya University. 69p.

**Table 1:** Chemical composition of feed stuff

Feed offer							
Feed type	%DM						
	DM	Ash	OM	CP	NDF	ADF	ADL
Hay	93.2	8.4	92.6	8.0	73.1	54.6	16.3
FSL	93.2	11.9	88.2	17.9	64.6	52.5	17.4
FSF	92.3	5.7	94.3	11.8	35.2	32.7	14.4
1FSL:1FSF	92.7	8.8	91.3	14.9	49.9	42.6	15.9
Refusal							
Hay (T1)	93.4	10.8	89.2	3.8	80.0	59.2	16.8
Hay (T2)	93.5	9.3	90.7	4.8	81.3	55.9	16.3
Hay (T3)	93.4	8.9	91.1	5.1	78.7	58.3	17.8
Hay (T4)	93.5	8.7	91.3	4.8	81.4	60.0	17.3

ADF = acid detergent fiber; ADL =acid-detergent lignin; CP =crude protein; DM = dry matter; FSL= *F. sycomorus* Leaf; NDF= neutral detergent fiber; OM =organic matter; FSF=*F. sycomorus* Fruit.

**Table 3:** Digestibility coefficients of nutrients in Washera sheep fed natural pasture hay alone and supplemented with *F. sycomorus* leaf, fruit and their mixtures.

Digestibility coefficients	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	SEM
DM	0.57 <sup>c</sup>	0.77 <sup>a</sup>	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.73 <sup>b</sup>	0.017
OM	0.57 <sup>c</sup>	0.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.74 <sup>ab</sup>	0.73 <sup>b</sup>	0.079
CP	0.63 <sup>d</sup>	0.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.78 <sup>c</sup>	0.021
NDF	0.56 <sup>d</sup>	0.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.67 <sup>b</sup>	0.62 <sup>c</sup>	0.013
ADF	0.55 <sup>c</sup>	0.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.61 <sup>b</sup>	0.052

<sup>a-c</sup>Means with different superscripts in row are significantly different; ADF = acid detergent fiber ; CP = crude protein; DM = dry matter; FSL = *F. sycomorus* Leaf; NDF = neutral detergent fiber; OM= organic matter; SEM = standard error of mean; FSF = *F. sycomorus* Fruit ; T<sub>1</sub>= natural pasture hay; T<sub>2</sub> = hay + 300g FSL DM; T<sub>3</sub> = hay + 300 g 1 FSL:1g FSF DM mix; T<sub>4</sub> = hay + 300 g FSF DM.

**Table 5:** Correlations between feed intakes and digestibility of Washera sheep fed natural pasture hay alone and supplemented with *F. sycomorus* leaf, fruit and their mixtures

Variables	TDMI	TCPI	DMD	OMD	CPD
TDMI	1.0				
TCPI	0.99***	1.0			
DMD	0.88***	0.91***	1.0		
OMD	0.88***	0.92***	0.98***	1.0	
CPD	0.94***	0.94***	0.93***	0.92***	1.0