

Global Journal of Food and Agribusiness Management ISSN 2756-343X Vol. 2 (6), pp. 001-004, June, 2011. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article.

Full Length Research Paper

# Comparative evaluation of internal and external qualities of eggs from quail and guinea fowl

## I. O. Dudusola

Department of Animal Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile - Ife

Accepted 14 April, 2011

The quality characteristics and proximate composition of the eggs of quail and guinea fowl were compared. The eggs of the two species had an oval conical shape with blunt and pointed ends. The shape indices of both the eggs had no statistical difference. As very well apparent, guinea fowl eggs (46.65g) were much heavy than the Quail eggs (10.34g). Proportion of yolk to total egg weight was higher in quail (31.4%) than guinea fowl (30.6%) Similarly, albumen content was higher in quail (61.2%) than guinea fowl (55.9%), however the ratio of yolk to albumen was higher in guinea fowl (0.55) than quail (0.52). The portion of shell to the total egg weight was higher in guinea fowl (13.5%) than in quail (7.3%). Similarly, the guinea fowl eggs showed much thicker shell (462.8 $\infty$ m) as compared to quail egg (174.8  $\infty$ m). The moisture content, crude protein, crude fat and crude ash of whole eggs were 74.47%, 12.77%, 10.83% and 1.10% for guinea fowl egg and 74.26%, 11.98%, 11.91% and 1.04% for quail eggs, respectively, with no statistical difference (p>0.05) between species. No species difference in the proximate composition of albumen and yolk was found except in the crude ash content of albumen which was significantly higher in quail egg

Keywords: Guinea fowl, quail, egg and proximate composition.

## INTRODUCTION

Eggs are highly versatile food containing many essential nutrients. Eggs of most species of birds may have similarities in nutritional composition and potential food usage, however, information on quality ega characteristics and utilization of egg for food and other purposes have been limited mostly to chicken eggs. Egg quality is composed of those characteristics of an egg that affects its acceptability to consumers such as cleanliness, freshness, egg weight, shell quality; yolk index, albumen index, Haugh unit and chemical composition (Stadelman, 1977; Song et.al. 2000). Chicken egg has been very well studied for its external and internal qualities as well as for its composition, however such information are not so abundantly documented in other poultry species. Hence, this study examines the quality characteristics and chemical composition of eggs of two poultry species which have economic value such as Quail and Guinea fowl.

\*Corresponding author Email: iadeogun@oauife.edu.ng

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The eggs of quail and guinea fowl used for this study were collected from Centre Songhai, Porto-Novo, Benin Republic. The eggs were collected on the day of lay. These birds were raised under farm condition on the chicken specific diets i.e. starter, grower and layer diets. with a diet suitable for Chickens.

## Evaluation of egg quality

Egg weight was measured with a Mettler PC 2000 balance after washing and drying with towel to remove contaminants from shell. Shape index was calculated from the ratio EL / ED after measuring egg length (EL) using a caliper and egg diameter (ED) was derived from the expression ED = EC /  $\pi$ , where  $\pi$  was 3.1416. Egg circumference (EC) was measured using a thread and a ruler. Shell Surface Area (SSA) was determined from the expression according to Carter (1975): SSA = 3.9782  $\times$  SW $^{0.7062}$ , where SW = Shell weight.

The egg was broken gently by using a scalpel and its contents were taken on the flat glass plate. The height of yolk and thick albumen were measured by using spherometersr. Haugh unit was calculated as per Haugh (1937) by using the following equation: H U = 100 log (H – G<sup>0.5</sup> (30 W<sup>0.37</sup> – 100) / 100 + 1.9): Where H U = Haugh Unit, H = Albumen height in cm, G = 32.2 and W = egg weight in grammes Table 1: means of some parameters of guinea fowl and quail eggs

| PARAMETERS                            | SPECIES                              |                                      |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
|                                       | Guinea Fowl                          | Quail                                |  |
| Shape index                           | 79.57 + 2.71 <sup>ª</sup>            | 78.93 + 3.75 <sup>°</sup>            |  |
| Egg weight (g)                        | 46.65 <del>+</del> 1.79 <sup>a</sup> | 10.34 <del>+</del> 0.93 <sup>°</sup> |  |
| Shell thickness (:m)                  | 462.8 <del>+</del> 39.1 <sup>a</sup> | 174.8 <del>+</del> 15.5 <sup>°</sup> |  |
| Shell surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 73.13 <del>+</del> 1.90 <sup>a</sup> | 25.97 <del>+</del> 1.50 <sup>u</sup> |  |
| Yolk index                            | 0.53 + 0.03 <sup>ª</sup>             | 0.46 + 0.05                          |  |
| Albumen height                        | $5.74 + 0.42^{a}$                    | 3.50 + 0.67 <sup>0</sup>             |  |
| Haugh unit                            | 80.87 + 3.14 <sup>a</sup>            | 84.19 + 4.91 <sup>ª</sup>            |  |
| Yolk weight                           | 14.26 + 0.74 <sup>a</sup>            | 3.25 + 0.40 <sup>u</sup>             |  |
| Albumen weight                        | 26.08 <u>+</u> 0.92 <sup>a</sup>     | 6.33 <u>+</u> 0.59 <sup>u</sup>      |  |
| Shell weight                          | 6.31 + 0.65 <sup>a</sup>             | 0.76 + 0.01 <sup>d</sup>             |  |

Mean  $\pm$  Standard deviation. Means in the same row not sharing a common superscript letter differ significantly (P>0.05)

Subsequently, yolk was separated from albumen and weighed. Shell weight was measured after removal of remaining albumen with water and subsequent sun drying for six hours The weight of albumen was calculated by subtracting the weights of yolk and shell from the weight of whole egg. Shell thickness was measured with a micrometer screw guage in  $\mu$ m.

#### **Analytical Methods**

Moisture content was determined by drying at  $100 - 102^{\circ}$ C for 16 - 18 hours as described by AOAC (1990). Crude protein was estimated by multiplying 6.25 to nitrogen content obtained through semimicro-kjeldahl method. Crude fat and ash were analysed by soxhlet extraction and  $550^{\circ}$ C muffle furnace, respectively.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Results were analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), and means were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### External egg qualities

Generally eggs of birds have oval shape with small differences among species. Despite its small differences, egg shape is considered as an important factor in characterizing bird species. In this study the eggs of quail and guinea fowl showed similar conical shape with blunt and pointed ends (Figure 1).

The shape of egg is expressed in terms of the shape index and the shape indices of quail and guinea fowl eggs were 79.93 and 79.57 respectively with no statistical difference (Table 1). The shape indices in the present study were higher than those reported by some authors for Chicken (give values) (Powrie, 1977; Baek, 1990).

The weights of guinea fowl and quail eggs and their components are shown in table 1. Guinea fowl egg

weight was much higher than that of quail and was basically due to vast difference in the size of these two species. The absolute weights of albumen, yolk and shell were also significantly higher in guinea fowl as compared to the quail and this difference was obviously due to much higher egg weight in guinea fowl. The proportions of yolk and albumen to the weight of whole eggs were higher in quail than guinea fowl, although the proportion of shell to weight of whole egg was higher in guinea fowl (table 2). These results agree with the findings of Song et.al. (2000) in quail and Singh et al., 1993, Song et al., 2000) in guinea fowl.

The shell of the guinea fowl egg (462.8 :m) was approximately 2.5 times thicker than that of quail egg (174.8 :m). The shell thickness of quail eggs was close to the earlier reports of (1980) and Nagarajan et.al. (1991). Similarly in guinea fowl, similar shell thickness has also been reported by Singh et al., 1993, Sachdeva et al., 2006).

## Interior egg quality

The yolk index, albumen height and haugh unit of the eggs of guinea fowl and quail are shown in

Table 1. Yolk index as well as albumin height were significantly higher in guinea fowl than quail,

however, haugh unit was not significantly different in both the species. Similar results were reported

by Song et.al. (2000). Since yolk index and haugh unit are indicators of internal egg quality and

these were high in this study for the eggs from both the species, it can be said that the eggs from these species are of good quality. High internal egg quality of guinea fowl eggs was also reported by Singh et al., 1993, Sachdeva et al., 2006). The higher the haugh unit and yolk index, the more desirable the internal quality of the egg (Ihekoronye and Ngoddy, 1985; Imai et.al. 1986; Ayorinde, 1987: Adeogun and Amole, 2004).

Table 2: proportion of egg components to the weight of whole egg (%)

| Species     | Yolk                                | Albumen                             | Shell                    |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Quail       | 31.4 <u>+</u> 1.98 ຼື               | 61.2 <u>+</u> 2.32 ຼື               | 7.3 + 0.69 <sup>°</sup>  |
| Guinea Fowl | 30.6 <del>+</del> 0.75 <sup>a</sup> | 55.9 <del>+</del> 1.54 <sup>b</sup> | 13.5 + 1.07 <sup>b</sup> |

Mean + standard deviation. Means in the same column not sharing a common superscript letter are significantly different (P>0.05).



Figure 1: Photograph of typical egg of Quail and Guinea fowl

Table 3: chemical composition of whole egg, yolk and albumen of guinea fowl and quail.

| Species     | Part of egg | Chemical composition (%)  |                           |                                      |                          |  |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| -           |             | Moisture                  | Crude Protein             | Crude Fat                            | Crude Ash                |  |
| Quail       | Whole egg   | 74.26 + 0.45 <sup>°</sup> | 11.98 + 0.58 <sup>ª</sup> | 11.91 + 0.65 <sup>ª</sup>            | 1.04 + 0.12 <sup>a</sup> |  |
| Guinea Fowl | Whole egg   | $74.47 + 0.43^{a}$        | 12.77 + 0.38 <sup>a</sup> | 10.83+ 0.75 <sup>a</sup>             | 1.10 + 0.18 <sup>a</sup> |  |
| Species     | Part of egg | Chemical composition (%)  |                           |                                      |                          |  |
|             |             | Moisture                  | Crude Protein             | Crude Fat                            | Crude Ash                |  |
| Quail       | Yolk        | 49.71 + 0.55 <sup>ª</sup> | 15.99 + 0.19 <sup>ª</sup> | 31.48 + 0.76 <sup>ª</sup>            | 1.79 + 0.38 <sup>ª</sup> |  |
| Guinea Fowl | Yolk        | 49.80 + 1.11 <sup>a</sup> | 15.74 + 0.55 <sup>d</sup> | 31.91 <sub>+</sub> 0.90 <sup>a</sup> | 1.86 + 0.35 <sup>a</sup> |  |
| Species     | Part of egg | Chemical composition (%)  |                           |                                      |                          |  |
| -           |             | Moisture                  | Crude Protein             | Crude Fat                            | Crude Ash                |  |
| Quail       | Albumen     | 87.82 + 0.55 <sup>°</sup> | 10.39 + 0.50 <sup>ª</sup> | 0.09 + 0.03 <sup>ª</sup>             | 1.00 + 0.11 <sup>ª</sup> |  |
| Guinea Fowl | Albumen     | 87.46 + 0.84 <sup>a</sup> | 10.61 + 0.56 <sup>a</sup> | 0.13 +0.04 <sup>a</sup>              | $0.79 + 0.10^{\circ}$    |  |

Means in the same column not sharing a common superscript letter are significantly different (P>0.05)

## **Chemical composition**

The chemical composition of guinea fowl and quail eggs as shown on table 3 showed no statistical difference species. The (P>0.05) between the proximate compositions were similar to those obtained for chicken eggs (USDA, 1983) where the moisture, protein, fat and ash contents were 74.57%, 12.14%, 11.5% and 0.94% respectively. No species difference in the proximate compositions of albumen and yolk was found except in crude ash of egg albumen, which was significantly higher in quail egg. The proximate compositions of albumen and

yolk of quail egg in this study were similar to those reported by some authors (Mahaptra et al., 1986, Imai et.al. 1986 and Song et.al. 2000) for Japanese quail.

#### References

Adeogun IO, Amole FO(2004). Some Quality Parameters of Exotic Chicken eggs Under Different Storage Conditions. Bulletin for Animal Health and Production in Africa (Kenya), Vol. 52 (1):43 – 47. AOAC (1990). Official Method of Analysis, 15<sup>th</sup> edition. Association of

Official Analytical Chemist, Washington, DC. 20044.

Avorinde KL(1987). Physical and chemical characteristics of eggs of four indigenous guinea fowls (Numidia meleagris gallenta pallas). Nig. J. Anim. Prod., 14(1&2): 125 - 128.

- Baek SB(1990). Study on the estimation of genetic parameters for the compositions and egg qualities in Korean native ogol fowl. M.Sc. Thesis, Chung Nam National University.
- Carter TC (1975). The hen's relationships of seven characteristics of the strain of hen to the incidence of cracks and other shell defects. Br. Poult. Sci. 16: 289 296.
- Duncan DB(1955). Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics 11: 1 -42.
- Ha JK(1980). A study on the thickness of egg shell membrane and egg shell in quail eggs. Kor. J. Poult. Sci. 7: 47 53.
- Haugh HR(1937). The Haugh unit for measuring egg quality. US Egg Poult. Mag. 43: 552 555, 572 573.
- Ihekoronye AI, Ngoddy PO(1985). Integrated Food Science and Technology for the tropics. Macmillan Publishers: 336.
- Imai C, Mowlah A, Saito J(1986). Storage stability of Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) eggs at room temperature. Poultry Sci. 65: 474 – 480.
- Mahapatra CM, Pandey NK, Verma SS, Singh HP(1986). Physical quality, composition, cholesterol, vitamin A and fatty acid components of guinea fowl vis a vis chicken egg. J. Food Sci. Technol. 24: 168-171.

- Nagarajan S, Narahari D, Jayaprasad IA, Thyagarajan D(1991). Influence of stocking density and layer age on production trait and egg quality in japanese quail. Br. Poult. Sci. 32: 243 – 248.
- Powrie WD(1977). Chemistry of eggs and egg product. In Egg Science and Technology (2<sup>nd</sup> edition) (Ed. W . J. Stadelman and O. J. Cotterill). AVI publ. Co., Inc., Westport, C. T. pp 65 – 91.
- Sachdeva AK, Sharma Deepak, Gopal Ram, Singh Harpreet (2006). Quality, composition and genetics of guinea fowl egg. The Indian J Anim. Breed & Genet. 27 (1,2) : 36-41.
- Singh H, Pal SK, Raheja KL (1993). Genetic and phenotypic parameters for egg production and egg quality traits in guinea fowl. Indian Journal of Poultry Science. 28: 12-19.
- Song KT, Choi SH, Oh HR (2000). A Comparison of Egg quality of Pheasant, Chukar, Quail and Guinea fowl. Asian Aus. J. Anim. Sci. 13 (7): 986 990).
- Stadelman WJ (1977). Quality preservation of shell eggs. In Egg Science and Technology (2<sup>nd</sup> edition) (Ed. W . J. Stadelman and O. J. Cotterill). AVI publ. Co., Inc., Westport, C. T. pp 41–47.
- USDA (1983). Egg grading manual; USDA, AMS, Agriculture handbook 75. U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.