International Scholars Journals

Global Journal of Plant and Soil Sciences ISSN 2756-3626 Vol. 8 (1), pp. 001-007, November, 2024. Available online at www.internationalscholarsjournals.org © International Scholars Journals

Full Length Research Paper

Promoting Sustainable Agriculture: An Extensive Analysis to Enhance Food Production and Preserve the Environment

Surya and Kalyan

Rajiv Gandhi National Aviation University, Amethi district, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Accepted 29 Novemberember, 2024

To maximize food production and protect the environment at the same time, sustainable agricultural innovations are crucial. This thorough evaluation offers a thorough analysis of the present situation and potential future developments of sustainable agricultural methods. As the world's population continues to grow, maintaining food security has become a critical concern. Despite their effectiveness in producing large quantities of food, conventional agricultural methods seriously jeopardize environmental sustainability since they use excessive amounts of resources, pollute the environment, and destroy biodiversity. Socially just, commercially feasible, and ecologically beneficial methods are encouraged by sustainable agriculture. This entails using cutting-edge technologies, such as precision farming, genetically modified crops for increased production and resistance to disease, and incorporating renewable energy sources into agricultural operations. Crucially, the report also highlights agroecological techniques that improve soil fertility, lower the use of synthetic pesticides, and foster biodiversity, such as crop rotation, organic farming, and agroforestry. Furthermore, in order to preserve ecological balance and guarantee food production, sustainable agriculture encourages the utilization of regional resources and traditional knowledge. This assessment also emphasizes how important education and policy assistance are in advancing sustainable farming. Wider adoption of sustainable techniques can result from improved knowledge and acceptance of these approaches among farmers and public awareness efforts. All things considered, this assessment indicates that implementing sustainable agriculture methods is not only an option, but also a requirement for future environmental preservation and food security.

.

Key words: Sustainable agriculture; Precision farming; Biotechnology; Artificial intelligence; Drones and satellite imagery.

INTRODUCTION

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) defines sustainable agriculture as the production of food, fiber, or other plant or animal products using farming practices that safeguard the environment, human communities, public health, and animal welfare [71]. Examining the many advantages and functions of sustainable agriculture in modern society is necessary to comprehend its significance. Its main objective is to satisfy global demands for food and textiles without endangering the capacity of future generations to satisfy their own. Sustainable agriculture plays an important part in addressing global food security. According to Godfray [31], the need for food will rise sharply as the population continues to grow. In order to satisfy this demand without depleting natural resources or having a negative impact on the environment, it is imperative to employ sustainable methods [31]. A vital part of conserving biodiversity is sustainable agriculture. According to Tscharntke [68], sustainable farming methods contribute to the preservation and even expansion of farmland biodiversity, offering homes for a variety of species. One important component of the solution to climate change is sustainable agriculture. According to Tubiello [70], sustainable

The effects of climate change could be lessened by agricultural methods that lower greenhouse gas emissions and potentially absorb carbon [70]. Table 1 displays some of the most important aspects of sustainable agriculture.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 An explanation of the literary search approach

A methodical approach was taken to search the literature in order to perform an accurate and comprehensive review. To guarantee a comprehensive coverage of published works pertaining to sustainable agriculture, several databases were consulted, including Google Scholar, Web of Science, PubMed, and Scopus [10]. The terms "sustainable agriculture," "food production," "environmental conservation," "agricultural practices," "agricultural technology," and "sustainable farming" were used as key search terms. As advised by Booth [9], boolean operators such as "AND" and "OR" were employed to combine or exclude keywords during the search process. To concentrate on the most current and pertinent advancements in the subject, the search was restricted to English-language publications released between 2000 and 2023.

2.2 Selection Standards and Procedures for Incorporated Research

A set of criteria was applied to the studies that were chosen for this review. They have to be peer-reviewed, original research publications or reviews with a primary focus on environmental conservation, food production, or sustainable agriculture. Two stages of screening were used in the selection process: first, titles and abstracts were examined to find pertinent research, and then full-text reviews were conducted to assess the studies' eligibility in light of the established criteria [33]. According to Arksey & O'Malley [4], this procedure made sure that high-caliber, peer-reviewed studies that significantly advance the review were included.

2.3 Data Extraction and Analysis Methods

Details like authors, year of publication, study design, techniques, key findings, and implications for sustainable agriculture were all recorded by methodically extracting data from the chosen publications using a standardized form [52]. Patterns (themes) in the data were found, examined, and reported using a thematic analysis approach [12]. In accordance with the principles given by Braun & Clarke [11], this entailed creating initial codes, looking for themes, reviewing, defining, and naming themes.

2.4 Agriculture's Past and Its Effects on the Environment

For almost 10,000 years, agriculture has influenced both the Earth's landscapes and human societies [17]. Humanity began to exercise considerable control over its environment during the Neolithic Revolution, which is commonly referred to as the early phases of basic farming and cattle rearing [6]. The introduction of agriculture resulted in higher population densities and the emergence of complex communities, as Diamond [16] noted. These changes did not, however, come without environmental repercussions. According to Redman [62], intense agricultural practices frequently caused soil deterioration, deforestation, and issues with water availability in ancient agricultural communities. Another significant change in agriculture was brought about by the industrial revolution, which in turn sparked the Green Revolution in the middle of the 20th century [22]. High-yielding crop types, fertilizers, herbicides, and irrigation were widely adopted during this time, increasing food supply but exacerbating environmental problems. Excessive fertilizer use resulted in water contamination and emissions of nitrous oxide, a powerful greenhouse gas, as Erisman [21] pointed out.

2.5 The Development of Contemporary Farming Methods

The Modern agriculture practices have evolved as a result of the environmental issues related to conventional and industrial farming systems [26]. Agroecology, precision agriculture, conservation agriculture, and organic farming have become the most popular sustainable agricultural methods in recent decades [49]. These systems seek to preserve soil fertility, reduce adverse environmental effects, and boost resilience and biodiversity [36]. Altieri [3] emphasizes that these techniques incorporate ecological principles, contemporary technology, and traditional wisdom. However, due to social, cultural, and political variables, the acceptance of these techniques varies widely around the globe [30]. This emphasizes how crucial context is in judging whether a certain sustainable agriculture approach is appropriate [67].

2.6 Current Issues in Agriculture Worldwide

Even with improvements in farming methods, there are still several obstacles facing global agriculture. Agricultural systems are under tremendous pressure to produce more food due to the world's expanding population, which is predicted to reach 9.7 billion people by 2050 [8]. These issues are made worse by climate change, which has an impact on crop yields through changes in precipitation patterns and an increase in the frequency of extreme weather events. Agriculture is also seriously threatened by the continuous loss of biodiversity brought on by pollution and habitat degradation [69]. Kremen

[44] has pointed out that crop output can be negatively impacted by the loss of pollinators, natural pest adversaries, and soil organisms. In order to overcome these obstacles, comprehensive and integrated strategies are needed, taking into account the many ways that agriculture contributes to ecosystem maintenance, food production, and livelihood support [29].

2.7 Concept of Sustainable Agriculture

Farming methods and systems that are productive, ecologically sound, financially feasible, and socially conscious are referred to as sustainable agriculture [32]. The goal is to satisfy present-day demands for food and fiber without sacrificing the capacity of future generations to satisfy their own [37]. The integrated management of natural resources (soil, water, air, plants, and animals) with technologies, regulations, and procedures that boost farmers' profits, meet consumer demand for food, improve the environment, and raise everyone's standard of living is all included in the principles of sustainable agriculture [57]. Sustainable agriculture stresses the utilization of renewable resources, promotes a balanced cycle of nutrients and energy on the farm, and mostly depends on native soil fertility rather than external inputs [2]. Additionally, it emphasizes lowering waste and energy consumption, protecting biodiversity, and sustaining vital ecological processes [59].

3. THE THREE DIMENSIONS

The Three interrelated dimensions—environmental, economic, and social—are frequently used to define the idea of sustainability [14]:

1. Environmental: Sustainable farming methods ought to preserve or improve the state of the environment. This entails conserving non-renewable resources, preventing soil, water, and air pollution, and fostering biodiversity [47]. Utilizing cover crops, cutting back on tillage, and putting integrated pest management into practice, for instance, can increase soil health, lessen the need for pesticides, improve habitats for beneficial creatures, and prevent soil erosion [19].

2. Economic: To guarantee the long-term viability of farming operations, sustainable farms must be profitable. This entails not just making money but also surviving market turbulence, controlling risk, and adapting to shifting customer needs [34]. Farm resilience and profitability can be raised by diversifying crops, incorporating livestock into agricultural production, and investigating niche markets [43].

3. Social: Improving the standard of living for farmers, farmworkers, and society as large is the social component of sustainability. Fair pay, respectable and safe working conditions, and fostering stronger community relationships are all part of this [1]. Furthermore, wholesome diets, food security, and the vitality of both rural and urban communities should all be facilitated by sustainable agriculture [23].

4. CURRENT SUSTAINABLE FARMING PRACTICES

4.1 Analysis of Organic Farming

OrgAn all-encompassing agricultural approach, organic farming supports the agroecosystem's health, especially its biological cycles, biodiversity, and soil biological activity [48]. The methods emphasize increasing soil fertility through crop rotation, organic matter management, and biological pest control, while banning synthetic inputs like chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and genetically modified organisms [63]. Organic farming has been shown in numerous studies to offer a number of environmental advantages, including as enhanced biodiversity, reduced runoff pollution, and better soil health [59] & [7]. Organic farming tends to produce lower yields than conventional farming, which makes it difficult to expand, even if organic products often command higher prices on the market [65].

4.2 Examination of Permaculture

PerPermaculture is an agricultural design approach that creates stable, productive systems by imitating the relationships and patterns observed in nature [53]. Through mutually beneficial synergies, it connects people, resources, land, and the environment [24]. Particularly when applied at the landscape scale, permaculture can greatly improve local biodiversity, soil health, and climate change resilience [18]. However, because it is so contextual, successful significant ecological principles and design knowledge and expertise are needed for implementation [13].

4.3 Study of Agroforestry

Agroforestry is the intentional integration of trees into agricultural and animal production systems to produce social, economic, and environmental benefits [56]. Among its many varieties are forest farming, silvopasture, and alley cropping [40]. It can increase total agricultural productivity, improve biodiversity and climate change resistance, and provide essential ecosystem services including carbon sequestration and soil erosion prevention [51]. However, the requirement for lengthy investment and complex management may hinder adoption [54].

4.4 Aquaponics and Hydroponics

Aquaponics is a technique that creates a symbiotic relationship between hydroponics (soil-less plant cultivation) and aquaculture (fish farming) [50]. Plants can be grown hydroponically in nutrient-rich water, frequently with an inert substrate supporting the roots [39]. When compared to traditional farming, aquaponics and hydroponics may both drastically cut water consumption, which makes them appealing for urban and desert regions [15]. Additionally, they lower the danger of soil-borne illnesses and enable year-round production [58]. Nevertheless, these systems necessitate a substantial upfront cost, technical know-how, and ongoing oversight and administration [61].

4.5 Conservation Agriculture

Agro-ecosystem management through conservation agriculture seeks to boost biodiversity, increase production, and maintain ecosystem services [42]. Crop rotation, permanent soil cover (with crops or cover crops), and minimal soil disturbance (no-till farming) are its three guiding concepts [35]. According to research, conservation agriculture can improve soil health, boost carbon sequestration, decrease erosion, and increase water infiltration [60]. Farmers may face difficulties in adapting pest and weed control, changing equipment, and adopting a longer-term outlook [28].

5. TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES IN SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

5.1 Precision Agriculture and Smart Farming

Smart farming, another name for precision agriculture, uses data and digital technology to maximize crop yields [27]. Farmers may control inputs, keep an eye on field conditions, and make decisions based on real-time data by utilizing technology like GPS and remote sensing [75]. Efficiency gains, waste reduction, and sustainability advancement are all possible with precision farming [73].

To improve efficiency and streamline the farming process, smart farming incorporates technology such as robotics, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things (IoT) [72]. These technology' data-driven nature enables farmers to make better decisions, which enhances crop productivity and sustainability [66].

5.2 Application of Drones and Satellite Imagery

Satellite imaging and drones are important components of contemporary sustainable agriculture. In order to monitor crop health, optimize irrigation, identify pests and diseases, and enhance production projections, these technologies make it possible to collect data in a fast and reliable manner [74] & [46].

A comprehensive view of farmlands is made possible by satellite imaging, which is helpful for managing large-scale farms, tracking irrigation, and evaluating the general health of crops [5]. For crop scouting, disease diagnosis, and precise input application, drones offer a closer, more thorough view [55].

5.3 Biotechnology in Sustainable Agriculture

Tools made possible by biotechnology have the potential to greatly improve sustainable agriculture. The requirement for chemical inputs can be decreased by engineering genetically modified organisms (GMOs) for increased nutrient content, enhanced yield, drought tolerance, and pest and disease resistance [25] & [64]. Furthermore, new avenues for crop enhancement are being made possible by developments in gene editing technologies such as CRISPR [38]. There are ethical, legal, and public acceptance concerns surrounding the contentious use of biotechnology in agriculture [20].

5.4 Artificial Intelligence and Big Data in Agriculture

The agricultural industry is undergoing a change thanks to artificial intelligence (AI) and big data. Large datasets from a variety of sources, including weather, soil, crop, and other data, can be analyzed by machine learning algorithms to generate forecasts and recommend the best agricultural methods [41].

Autonomous tractors, robotic harvesters, and other AI-enabled devices can boost productivity and decrease human labor in farming, demonstrating how AI can propel automation in this sector [73]. Data analytics, on the other hand, can enhance supply chain management by forecasting demand and streamlining distribution to cut waste and boost farmer earnings [45].

6. CONCLUSION

Precision farming, drones, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence are some of the technical innovations that will shape sustainable agriculture in the future. In addition to increasing productivity and efficiency, these technologies lessen their negative effects on the environment and aid in addressing the problems of global food security. Ethical, legal, and public acceptance concerns should all be taken into account when using these technologies. Navigating the route towards sustainable agriculture will require a sophisticated and knowledgeable strategy that combines cutting-edge technologies with conventional farming methods.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Allen P. Together at the table: sustainability and sustenance in the American agrifood system. Penn State Press; 2004.

2. Altieri MA. Agroecology: the science of sustainable agriculture. Westview Press; 1995.

3. Altieri MA. Agroecology: the science of natural resource management for poor farmers in marginal environments. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2002;93(1-3):1-24.

4. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. Int J Soc Res Methodol. 2005;8(1):19-32.

5. Bareth G, Aasen H, Bendig J, Gnyp ML, Bolten A, Jung A et al. Low-weight and UAV-based hyperspectral full-frame cameras for monitoring crops: spectral comparison with portable spectroradiometer measurements. Photogramm Fernerkundung Geoinf. 2018;2018(1):17-29.

6. Barker G. The agricultural revolution in prehistory: why did foragers become farmers? Oxford University Press; 2009.

7. Bengtsson J, Ahnström J, Weibull AC. The effects of organic agriculture on biodiversity and abundance: A meta- analysis. J Appl Ecol. 2005;42(2):261-9.

8. Blakeney M. Agricultural innovation and sustainable development. Sustainability. 2022;14(5):2698.

9. Booth A, Papaioannou D, Sutton A. Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. SAGE; 2016.

10. Bramer WM, Giustini D, de Jonge GB, Holland L, Bekhuis T. De-duplication of database search results for systematic reviews in EndNote. J Med Libr Assoc. 2016;104(3):240-3.

11. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol. 2006;3(2):77-101.

12. Braun V, Clarke V. Thematic analysis. APA handbook of research methods in psychology. 2012;2:57-71.

13. Crouch M, Ward C. The allotment: its landscape and culture. Five Leaves Publications; 2011.

14. Darnhofer I, Fairweather J, Moller H. Assessing a farm's sustainability: insights from resilience thinking. Int J Agric Sustain. 2010;8(3):186-98.

15. Despommier D. The vertical farm: feeding the world in the 21st century. Macmillan; 2010.

16. Diamond J. Guns, germs, and steel: the fates of human societies. W W Norton & Company; 1997.

17. Diamond J. Evolution, consequences and future of plant and animal domestication. Nature. 2002;418(6898):700-7.

18. Diver S. Introduction to permaculture: concepts and resources. ATTRA Publication; 2002.

19. Drinkwater LE, Snapp SS. Nutrients in agroecosystems: rethinking the management paradigm. Adv Agron. 2007;92:163-86.

20. Edge J, Cooper G. Barriers and opportunities for social-ecological adaptation to climate change in coastal British Columbia. Clim Dev. 2018;10(5):365-79.

21. Erisman JW, Sutton MA, Galloway J, Klimont Z, Winiwarter W. How a century of ammonia synthesis changed the world. Nat Geosci. 2008;1(10):636-9.

22. Evenson RE, Gollin D. Assessing the impact of the Green Revolution, 1960 to 2000. Science. 2003;300(5620):758-62.

23. Feenstra GW. Creating space for sustainable food systems: lessons from the field. Agric Hum Values. 2002;19(2):99- 106.

24. Ferguson RS, Lovell ST. Permaculture for agroecology: Design, movement, practice, and worldview. A review. Agron Sustain Dev. 2014;34(2):251-74.

25. Fernandez-Cornejo J, Wechsler S, Livingston M, Mitchell L. Genetically engineered crops in the United States. United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service; 2014.

26. Foley JA, Ramankutty N, Brauman KA, Cassidy ES, Gerber JS, Johnston M et al. Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature. 2011;478(7369):337-42.

27. Gebbers R, Adamchuk VI. Precision agriculture and food security. Science. 2010;327(5967):828-31.

28. Giller KE, Witter E, Corbeels M, Tittonell P. Conservation agriculture and smallholder farming in Africa: the heretics' view. Field Crops Res. 2009;114(1):23-34.

29. Gliessman SR. Agroecology: the ecology of sustainable food systems. CRC press; 2014.

30. Godfray HCJ, Garnett T. Food security and sustainable intensification. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2014;369(1639):20120273.

31. Godfray HCJ, Beddington JR, Crute IR, Haddad L, Lawrence D, Muir JF et al. Food security: the challenge of feeding 9 billion people. Science. 2010;327(5967):812-8.

32. Harwood RR. A history of sustainable agriculture. Sustainable agricultural systems. 1990;3-19.

33. Higgins JP, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.

34. Hill H, MacRae RJ. Conceptual frameworks for the transition from conventional to sustainable agriculture. J Sustain Agric. 1996;7(1):81-94.

35. Hobbs PR, Sayre K, Gupta R. The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable agriculture. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2008;363(1491):543-55.

36. Horlings LG, Marsden TK. Towards the real green revolution? Exploring the conceptual dimensions of a new ecological modernisation of agriculture that could 'feed the world'. Glob Environ Change. 2011;21(2):441-52.

37. Horne JE, McDermott M. The next green revolution: essential steps to a healthy, sustainable agriculture. Food Products Press; 2001.

38. Ishii T, Araki M. Consumer acceptance of food crops

developed by genome editing. Plant Cell Rep. 2017;36(10):1507-18.

39. Jensen MH. Hydroponics. HortSci. 1997;32(6):1018-21.

40. Jose S. Agroforestry for ecosystem services and environmental benefits: an overview. Agrofor Syst. 2009;76(1):

1-10.

41. Kamilaris A, Prenafeta-Boldú FX. Deep learning in agriculture: A survey. Comput Electron Agric. 2018;147:70-90.

42. Kassam A, Friedrich T, Derpsch R. Global spread of conservation agriculture. Int J Environ Stud. 2019;76(1):29-51.

43. Kirschenmann F. The challenge for the future: farming in the 21st century. Am J Altern Agric. 2000;15(1):2-3.

44. Kremen C, Iles A, Bacon C. Diversified farming systems: an agroecological, systems-based alternative to modern industrial agriculture. Ecol Soc. 2012;17(4).

45. Kshetri N. Will blockchain emerge as a tool to break the poverty chain in the Global South? Third World Q. 2017;38(8):1710- 32.

46. Kussul N, Lavreniuk M, Skakun S, Shelestov A. Deep learning classification of land cover and crop types using remote sensing data. IEEE Geosci Remote Sens Lett. 2017;14(5):778-82.

47. Lal R. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. Geoderma. 2004;123(1- 2):1-22.

48. Lampkin N. Organic farming. Ipswich, UK: Farming Press; 1990.

49. Landers JN, de Freitas PL, de Oliveira MC, da Silva Neto SP, Ralisch R, Kueneman EA. Next steps for conservation agriculture. Agronomy. 2021;11(12):2496.

50. Love DC, Fry JP, Li X, Hill ES, Genello L, Semmens K et al. Commercial aquaponics production and profitability: findings from an international survey. Aquaculture. 2015;435:67-74.

51. Mbow C, Smith P, Skole D, Duguma L, Bustamante M. Achieving mitigation and adaptation to climate change through sustainable agroforestry practices in Africa. Curr Opin Environ Sustain. 2014;6:8-14.

52. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta- Analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLOS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097.

53. Mollison B. Introduction to permaculture. Tagari Publications; 1991.

54. Montagnini F, Nair PKR. Carbon sequestration: an underexploited environmental benefit of agroforestry systems. Agrofor Syst. 2004;61(1-3):281- 95.

55. Mulla DJ. Twenty five years of remote sensing in precision agriculture: key advances and remaining knowledge gaps. Biosyst Eng. 2013;114(4):358-71.

56. Nair PKR. Climate change mitigation: a low-hanging fruit of agroforestry. Agrofor Syst. 2012;86(2):175-94.

57. National Research Council. Toward sustainable agricultural systems in the 2st Century. National Academies Press; 2010.

58. Pfeiffer A, Specht K. Potential of vertical hydroponic agriculture for urban areas. J Hortic. 2015;2(2):1-8.

59. Pimentel D, Hepperly P, Hanson J, Douds D, Seidel R. Environmental, energetic, and economic comparisons of organic and conventional farming systems. BioScience. 2005;55(7):573-82.

60. Pittelkow CM, Liang X, Linquist BA, Van Groenigen KJ, Lee J, Lundy ME et al. Productivity limits and potentials of the principles of conservation agriculture. Nature. 2015;517(7534):365-8.

61. Rakocy JE, Masser MP, Losordo TM. Recirculating aquaculture tank production systems: aquaponics—integrating fish and plant culture. SRAC publication. 2006;454:1-16.

62. Redman CL. Human impact on ancient environments. University of Arizona Press; 1999.

63. Reganold JP, Wachter JM. Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. Nat Plants. 2016;2(2):15221

64. Ronald PC. Plant genetics, sustainable agriculture and global food security. Genetics. 2011;188(1):11-20.

65. Seufert V, Ramankutty N, Foley JA. Comparing the yields of organic and conventional agriculture. Nature. 2012;485(7397):229-32.

66. Stočes M, Vaněk J, Masner J, Pavlík J, Šimek P. Internet of things (IoT) in agriculture—selected aspects. Agris On- Line Pap Econ Inform. 2017;9(1):121.

67. Tittonell P. Ecological intensification of agriculture-sustainable by nature. Curr Opin Environ Sustain. 2014;8:53-61. doi: 10.1016/j.cosust.2014.08.006.

68. Tscharntke T, Clough Y, Wanger TC, Jackson L, Motzke I, Perfecto I et al. Global food security, biodiversity conservation and the future of agricultural intensification. Biol Conserv. 2012;151(1):53-9.

69. Tscharntke T, Klein AM, Kruess A, Steffan- Dewenter I, Thies C. Landscape perspectives on agricultural intensification and biodiversity–ecosystem service management. Ecol Lett. 2005;8(8):857-74.

70. Tubiello FN, Salvatore M, Ferrara AF, House J, Federici S, Rossi S et al. The contribution of agriculture, forestry and other land use activities to global warming, 1990-2012. Glob Change Biol. 2015;21(7):2655-60.

71. United States Department of Agriculture. Sustainable agriculture: Information access tools; 2022.

72. Weersink A, Fraser E, Pannell D, Duncan E, Rotz S. Opportunities and challenges for big data in agricultural and environmental analysis. Annu Rev Resour Econ. 2018;10:19-37.

73. Wolfert S, Ge L, Verdouw C, Bogaardt MJ. Big data in smart

farming–a review. Agric Syst. 2017;153:69-80.

74. Zhang C, Kovacs JM. The application of small unmanned aerial systems for precision agriculture: a review. Precis Agric. 2012;13(6):693-712.

75. Zhang N, Wang M. Precision agriculture-a worldwide overview. Comput Electron Agric. 2017;87:13-4.

Table 2. Major developments in agricultural history and their environmental impacts

Time Period	Major Agricultural Developments	Environmental Impact
Prehistoric Times	Hunter-gatherer lifestyle, discovery of farming	Minimal; local ecosystem disturbances
Ancient Civilizations (8000 BC - AD 500)	Development of irrigation, crop rotation, plows	Increased land use, deforestation, soil salinization from irrigation
Middle Ages (500 - 1500)	Three-field crop rotation, improved plows	Improved soil fertility, increased land use
Industrial Revolution (1750 - 1900)	Mechanization, introduction of synthetic fertilizers	Soil degradation, water pollution from runoff
20th Century	Green Revolution, introduction of GMOs	Increased yield but also soil degradation, biodiversity loss, water pollution
21st Century	Precision farming, sustainable practices	Potential for reduced environmental footprint

Fig. 1. Variables involved in agricultural sustainability assessment